

Navigating the Endodontic Maze: A Review of Case Selection and Diagnostic Procedures for Predictable Root Canal Treatment

Yesh Sharma^{1*} and Shweta Kumari²

¹Reader, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Pacific Dental College and Hospital, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

²Intern, Pacific Dental College and Hospital, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

*Corresponding author

Yesh Sharma, Reader, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Pacific Dental College and Hospital, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India.

Received: August 07, 2025; Accepted: August 13, 2025; Published: August 21, 2025

ABSTRACT

Successful root canal therapy is not merely a matter of mechanical skill; it is founded upon meticulous case selection and an accurate, systematic diagnostic process. For general dental practitioners and specialists alike, understanding which cases to treat and which to refer is paramount for achieving predictable outcomes and ensuring patient satisfaction. This review article provides a concise overview of the essential criteria for root canal case selection and the fundamental diagnostic procedures that form the bedrock of modern endodontic practice.

Keywords: Anatomic Complexity, Restorability, Tactile Inspection

The Art of Triage: Brief on Root Canal Case Selection

The decision to undertake a root canal procedure involves a multifactorial assessment that balances the complexity of the case against the clinician's training, experience, and available armamentarium [1]. A prudent selection process minimizes procedural complications and maximizes the chances of success. Key considerations can be broadly categorized into patient factors, tooth-specific factors, and practitioner factors.

Patient Factors

- **Medical History:** A thorough review is critical. Uncontrolled systemic diseases like diabetes can impair healing, while patients on bisphosphonates require special consideration due to the risk of osteonecrosis.
- **Patient Motivation and Cooperation:** The patient must be willing to commit to multiple appointments and the subsequent coronal restoration, which is vital for long-term success [2].
- **Limited Mouth Opening:** Trismus or other temporomandibular disorders can severely restrict access,

making instrumentation of posterior teeth challenging, if not impossible.

Tooth-Specific Factors:

- **Anatomic Complexity:** This is the most critical determinant. Factors that significantly increase difficulty include:
 - o **Extreme Curvatures:** Canals with sharp or multiple curves (S-shaped) present a high risk of instrument separation and procedural errors like ledging or transportation.
 - o **Calcification:** Pulp canal obliteration or significant calcifications can make locating and negotiating canals extremely difficult [3].
 - o **Root and Canal Morphology:** The presence of extra roots, C-shaped canals, or apical deltas increases the challenge of complete debridement and obturation.
- **Restorability:** There is no point in saving a root that cannot be restored. The tooth must have sufficient sound structure remaining to support a durable coronal restoration.
- **Periodontal Status:** The tooth must have adequate bone support. A hopeless periodontal prognosis contraindicates endodontic treatment.
- **Previous Treatment:** Endodontic retreatments are inherently more complex due to the presence of old filling

Citation: Yesh Sharma, Shweta Kumari. Navigating the Endodontic Maze: A Review of Case Selection and Diagnostic Procedures for Predictable Root Canal Treatment. J Med Clin Nurs Stud. 2025. 3(4): 1-2. DOI: doi.org/10.61440/JMCNS.2025.v3.85

materials, posts, and potential procedural errors from the initial treatment [4].

Practitioner Factors:

- **Skill and Experience:** Clinicians must honestly assess their comfort and skill level. A case that is straightforward for an endodontist may be highly complex for a new graduate.
- **Available Technology:** The use of magnification (loupes or a dental operating microscope), advanced rotary file systems, and apex locators can transform a difficult case into a manageable one. Lack of appropriate technology should prompt a referral.

A widely accepted tool to formalize this assessment is the American Association of Endodontists (AAE) Endodontic Case Difficulty Assessment Form, which helps clinicians to objectively score a case as being of minimal, moderate, or high difficulty [5].

The Diagnostic Blueprint: Procedures for Accurate Assessment
An accurate diagnosis is the non-negotiable first step in endodontic therapy. It identifies the source of the patient's complaint and determines the status of the pulp and periapical tissues. The process is a systematic investigation that combines subjective history with objective clinical and radiographic testing.

1. The Clinical Examination (Subjective and Objective):

- **Chief Complaint and History:** Listen carefully to the patient's description of their pain—its location, nature (sharp, dull, throbbing), duration, and what triggers or relieves it.
- **Visual and Tactile Inspection:** Examine the soft tissues for swelling, redness, or sinus tracts. Inspect the tooth for caries, fractures, discolouration, or defective restorations.
- **Palpation and Percussion:** Palpating the apical area can reveal periapical inflammation. Percussion (tapping) on the tooth, both vertically and laterally, helps to localize inflammation in the periodontal ligament, a key indicator of apical periodontitis [6].

2. **Pulp Vitality Testing:** These tests assess the sensory response of the pulp, which is an indirect indicator of its vascular health. A crucial step is to test adjacent and contralateral teeth to establish a baseline response.

- **Thermal Testing:**

- o **Cold Test:** The most common method, using refrigerant spray (-26°C to -50°C) on a cotton pellet applied to the mid-facial surface of the tooth. A sharp, brief response indicates a vital, likely healthy pulp. A lingering, intense pain suggests irreversible pulpitis. No response points towards pulpal necrosis.
- o **Heat Test:** Less frequently used, but valuable. A heated gutta-percha point or a specialized device can be applied. A strong, immediate painful response can be indicative of irreversible pulpitis.

- **Electric Pulp Test (EPT):** This test sends a small electric current through the tooth. While it can indicate the presence of vital nerve tissue, it does not provide information on the vascular health of the pulp and is less reliable than thermal testing in many situations. It is contraindicated in patients with cardiac pacemakers.

3. **Radiographic Analysis:** Radiographs are indispensable for visualizing what cannot be seen clinically.

- **Periapical Radiographs (PAs):** Essential for viewing the entire length of the root and the surrounding periapical bone. Look for signs like a widened periodontal ligament (PDL) space or a distinct radiolucency at the apex, which indicates bone resorption due to pulpal necrosis.

- **Bitewing Radiographs:** Best for assessing the extent of caries, the fit of restorations, and the bone height.

- **Cone-Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT):** This 3D imaging technology has revolutionized diagnosis for complex cases. It is invaluable for identifying missed canals, visualizing the extent of resorptive lesions, diagnosing vertical root fractures, and assessing complex anatomy that is unclear on 2D radiographs [7].

Conclusion: A Foundation for Success

In conclusion, the pathway to successful endodontic outcomes begins long before a file enters the canal. A disciplined approach to case selection, using established difficulty assessment criteria, allows clinicians to confidently treat or judiciously refer. This, combined with a methodical diagnostic sequence—integrating the patient's history with thorough clinical and radiographic examinations—ensures that the correct treatment is rendered for the correct diagnosis. By mastering these foundational principles, dental practitioners can significantly enhance the predictability and quality of their endodontic care.

Reference

1. Chung SH, Chang J. Impact of endodontic case difficulty on operating time of single visit nonsurgical endodontic treatment under general anesthesia. *BMC Oral Health*. 2021. 21: 231.
2. Ree MH, Timmerman MF, Wesselink PR. An evaluation of the usefulness of two endodontic case assessment forms by general dentists. *Int. Endod J*. 2003. 36: 545-555.
3. Huang DM, Zhou XD. Difficulty assessment of root canal treatment. *Chin. J. Dent. Sci*. 2006. 41: 532-534.
4. Tian Y, Zhang Y. The relationship between hypertension and physical activity in middle-aged and older adults controlling for demographic, chronic disease, and mental health variables. *Medicine*. 2022. 101: e32092.
5. Susarla SM, Dodson TB. Estimating third molar extraction difficulty: a comparison of subjective and objective factors. *J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg*. 2005. 63: 427-434.
6. NHS England (2019) Commissioning Standard for Restorative Dentistry (accessed 22 February 2022).
7. Chang J. Dental treatment under general anesthesia: an overview of clinical characteristics of special needs patients. *J. Korean Assoc. Disabil. Oral Health*. 2014. 10: 61-67.