

Research Article

ISSN: 2977-0033

Journal of Clinical Research and Case Studies

Long-Term Analyis of Efficacy and Toxicidad of Zoledroinic Acid in Patients with Multiple Myeloma

Agustin Aviles* and Sergio Cleto

Oncology Research Unit and Department of Hematology, National Medical Center, IMSS, Mexico City, MEXICO

*Corresponding author

Agustin Aviles, Avenida Cuahtemoc 330, Colonia Doctores, Ciudad de Mexico, 06620, MEXICO.

Received: March 30, 2023; Accepted: April 08, 2023; Published: April 13, 2023

ABSTRACT

Myeloma bone disease MBD) is the most common and dangerous effects in patients with multiple myeloma. Bisphosphonates (BP), are the treatment of choice. But, efficacy and adverse events has not been evaluated at longer follow-up. Thus, we review our experience in a tertiary cancer center, whose received zoledronic acid (ZO): in a large number of cases (1041) and longer follow-up: 4.2 to 21.6 years (median 13.8). Skeletal event (SE) were statistically better in patients who received ZA: 52 events: 8.96%, compared to 207 (42.6) p < 0.001 in patients whose did not received ZA, independently if the patients received autologous stem cell transplant or not. But, progression free survival and overall survival did not show any benefit. Adverse events were minimal, and jaw necrosis were not observed.

Thus, we considered that ZA, remain to be the best treatment of MBD, but, we confirm that ZA did not show at longer follow-up any antitumor effect.

Keywords: Multiple Myeloma, Myeloma Bone Disease, Bisphosphonates, Zoledronic Acid

Introduction

Multiple mieloma (MM) is an hematological disease that cause osteolytic lesions, excess immunoglobulin secretion, renal impairment, and myelosuppression. In this patients 80-90% development myeloma bone disease (MBD) that is associated with pathological fractures, spinal cord compression and pain that produce imported condition in quality of life and need specific treatments: surgery, radiotherapy, and strong use of drugs to ameliorate the pain, that can cause interference in the treatment of MM, affected the possibility of a better response and overall survival (OS) [1,2]. MBD is the result of multiple biological changes in the microenviroment that produce an accelerated overall bone loss and the formation of focal osteolytic lesions. Almost 40 years ago bisphosphonates (BP) and recently monoclonal antibody were introduced in the treatment of BMD, that reduced the risks of skeletal event (SE) and any anti-tumor effect was observed in some studies. Mechanisms of action has been extensively analyzed [3-5]. Thus, the use of these drugs has been considered as obligatory treatment in patients with MM [6-9]. However, until now, dosage, interval and duration of treatment, and presence of late toxicities has not been stablished. Thus, we revised our experience in a large number of patients, with longer follow-up, the first -point was to evaluate the benefit of zoledronic acid (ZA) in relationship to delay the appearance of SE, secondly observed the late adverse events; and finally evaluate the antitumor effect.

Material and Methods

From March 1999 to December 2017, 1041 patients with MM and received ZA, were found, the criteria entry was a followus: Pathological and biochemical diagnostic of MM, high risk according to the ISS model, without previous treatment, age > 18 years old without upper limits, presence of a last one lytic lesion, if renal damage were observed this were under control, whit nohistory of smoldering myeloma or monoclonal gammopathy, performance status < 2. The chemotherapy employed at these time was a combination of biological agents, followed by autologous stem cell transplant if the patient were eligible, if not, they treated with a combination of thalidomide, dexamethasone an melphalan (); at relapse or progression, treatment was based on the clinical conditions or state of MM, the most common was bortezomib, dexamethasone: lenalidomide is not available in our institution [10,11]. Taking in consideration that jaw necrosis has been reported as frequent adverse event, dental care was carefully performed, every 6 moths for an expert in dental care. ZO acid was administered when chemotherapy was beginning at dosage of 4mg every 28 days, and continue during until progressive disease, or death from any cause.

Ethical Statement

All patients signed an inform consent to participate in the study, and Ethical and Scientific Committee approved the study.

Results

Table 1 show the demographic characteristics of the patients; as expected more younger patients was observed in the transplantation

Citation: Agustin Aviles, Sergio Cleto. Long-Term Analyis of Efficacy and Toxicidad of Zoledroinic Acid in Patients with Multiple Myeloma.. J Clin Res Case Stud. 2023. 1(2): 1-3. DOI: doi.org/10.61440/JCRCS.2023.v1.07

patients, but, another prognostic factors did no showed any statical differences. Table 2, show that SE were statistically more frequent in patients that did not received ZA, independently of the primary treatment. At longer follow-up, outcome measured for PFS and OS, were not statistically different. Acute adverse events, were minimal and well controlled. Surprisingly, jaw necrosis has not been observed.

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics

	Transplant			No-Transplant				
	No (%)							
	Zoledronic Acid							
	Yes	Not	P	Yes	Not	P		
Number	368 (53.1)	324 (46.8)	0.817	188 (53)	161 (46.3)	0.799		
Age: Median	59.8	50.1		68.4	70.3			
Age: Range	36-70	30-70		57-79	59-81			
Sex: Male	209 (56.2)	199 (61.4)	0.607	87 (46.0)	88 (54.1)	0.211		
Sex: Female	159 (43.2)	125 (37.0)	0.112	101(53.7)	73 (45,4)	0.344		
High risk	368 (100)	(324) 100	(NA)	188 (100)	161 (100)	NA		
Subtype								
IgG	224 (60.8)	201 (62.8)	0.886	99 (53.9)	93 (57.7)	0.944		
IgA	82(22.2)	89 (23.8)	0.776	59 (31.1)	48 (29.1)	0.665		
Light -chain	50 (13.9)	32 (9,8)	0.332	28 (14.8)	20 (13.6)	0.212		
Non- secretory	12 (3.2)	13 (09)	0.111	2 (10.2)	0			
Anemia	355 (96.4)	320 (98,7)	0.775	164 (87.2)	156 (96.8)	0.125		
Bone lesion	359 (97.3)	315 (98;7)	0.901	170 (87.2)	156 (96.8)	0.454		

Table 2: Results

	Transplant			No-Transplant		
	Yes	Not	P	Yes	Not	P
Skeletal event	24 (6.5)	106 (32.7)	p < 0.001	26 (13.8)	107 (63.3)	p <0.001
Relapse	162 (44.0)	224 (66,0)	p <0.01	89 (97.1)	122 (64.0)	p < 0.01
PFS *	38 (30.2- 45.6)	39 (33.0- 46.4)	0.213	34 (28.0- 41)	35 (29- 43)	p 0.878
OS **	57 (49- 63.2)	58 (50.1- 64.4)	0.755	49(44- 56.3)	54 (47 -58.4)	p .450

^{*}Actuarial curves at 5 years, PFS progression-free survival (Confidence interval; (95%).

Discusion

We show the results of retrospective in patients with MM and BMD who were treated with ZA, from the beginning of the treatment until refractory disease, or death secondary to any causes. ZA was administered from a large time: 2 to 136 (median 76) months. We confirm that the use of prolonged time, remain to be benefit to BMD, because SE, were statistically better in patients whose received ZA compared with patients whose did not received ZA. Multiple studies have been demonstrated that the use of BP, will be employed in all cases of BMD [12-15]. Also BP has been employed in patients with biochemical relapse, in maintenance therapy, asymptomatic disease [6,7]. Himmeltein et al, as confirm that are benefit in another malignancies and BMD associated, but the intervals of doses will be more longer [12]. In previous study we show that ZA is effective to diminished the risk of SE, with and better quality of life, because adverse events are no severe and were well controlled, in neither of our patients we observed jaw necrosis [13,14]. Recently, denosumab, an monoclonal antibody has been proven that are effective to diminished the risk of SE, when this drug was compared with ZA, show the same efficacy, and toxic profile, thus both could employed, the unique advantage of denosumab is these drug is administered orally [15]. Recently, new drugs has been tested in BMD, with the same effectiveness in BMD, but these drugs no appear to be better, and comparative studies has not has been performed [16,17].

Conclusion

We confirm in this study that ZA remain to be useful in the treatment of BMD, reducing the risks of SE, and the complications associated, late toxicities were not observed, including jaw necrosis, the interval between doses will be every 4 weeks, the dosage remain to be 4 mg, as standard dose. Administration will be continued during all the course of MM, until death.

Conflict of Interes: Both authors disclose any conflict of interest **Finnancial Support:** The work did not received any grants of economical support and was performed with the owner resources of the Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social,

References

- 1. Bernstein ZS, Kim EB, Rade R. Bone disease in multiple myeloma. Biol Clinical Implications Cell. 2022. 11: 2308.
- 2. Du JS, Yen CH, His CM, Hsiao HH. Management pf myeloma bone lesions. Int J Mul SC. 2021. 22: 3389.
- 3. Gau YC, Yeh TJ, Hsu IM, Hsian SY, Hsian H. Pathogenesis and treatment of myeloma related bone disease. Int J Mol Sci. 2022. 23: 3112.
- Zogakis PN, Teres AR, Zefeirig EN, Zeferic C. Bisphosphonates loaded blood cement. J Muskuloskeltic Neurol Interaction. 2022. 22: 587595.
- Schech AJ, Namiebuka BE, Broding AH. Zoledronic acid inhibits aromatase activity and phosphorylation potential mechanism for additive zoledronic acid and letrozole drugs interaction. J Steroid Biochem Mol. 2012. 132: 195-202.
- 6. Morgan GJ, Dalies FE, Gregory WM, Szubert AJ, Bell SE, et al. Effects of induction and maintenance plus long-term bisphosphonates on bone disease in patients with multiple myeloma. Blood. 2012. 119: 5371-5383.

^{**}Actuarial at 5-years, overall survival (Confidence interval 95%).

- 7. Garcia-Zans R, Oriol A, Moreno MJ, de la Rubia J, Payer AR, et al. Zoledronic acid as compared with observation in multiple myeloma patients in biochemical relapse. Haematologica. 2015. 100: 1207-1213.
- 8. Musto P, Petrucci MT, Bringhen S, Guglielmelli T, Caravita T, et al. A multicenter randomized clinical comparation zoledronic acid versus observation in patients with asymptomatic myeloma. Cancer. 2008. 113: 1588-1595.
- Aviles A, Nambo MJ, Neri N, Castaneda C, Cleto S, et al. Antitumor effect of zoledronic acid in previously untreated patients with multiple myeloma. Med Oncol. 2007. 24: 227-230.
- Aviles A, Nambo MJ, Murillo E, Murillo E, Castañeda C, et al. Biological modifiers as citoreductive therapy before stem cell transplant in previously untreated patients with multiple myeloma. Ann Oncol. 2005. 16: 219-222.
- 11. Aviles A, Neri N, Nambo MJ. Novel therapy in multiple myeloma. Invest New Drugs. 2005. 23: 411-415.
- 12. Himelstein AL, Foster JC, Khatchessian JC, Roberts JD, Seisler DK, et al. Effect of longer interval vs standard dosing of zoledronic acid on skeletal events in patients with bone metastasis. JAMA. 2017. 317: 48-58.

- 13. Aviles A, Neri N, Huerta-Guzman J, Nambo MJ. Randomized clinical trial of zoledronic acid in multiple myeloma patients undergoing high-dose chemotherapy and stem cell transplantation. Curr Oncol. 2013. 20: e13-e20.
- Aviles A, Nambo MJ, Huerta-Guzman J, Cleto S, Neri N. Prolonged use of zoledronic acid (4 years) did not improve outcome in multiple myeloma patients. Clin Lymph Myeloma Leuk. 2017. 207-210.
- 15. Huang SY, Yuon SS, Shimizu K, Chng WJ, Chang CS, et al. Denosumab versus zoledronic acid in bone disease. Adv Ther. 2020. 37: 3404-3416.
- 16. Lee OL, Horvath N, Lee C, Joshua D, Ho J, et al. Bisphosphonates guidelines for treatment and prevention of multiple myeloma. Int Med J. 2017. 47:938-951.
- 17. Ring ES, Lawson MA, Snowden JA, Juliet I. New agents in the treatments of myeloma bone disease. Calciform Tissue Int. 2018. 102: 196-209.