

Haunted by Stigma: Living with Hansen's Disease in the Brazilian Amazon

Maria de Lourdes Beldi de Alcantara^{1*}, Carlos EF Corbett¹, Marilia Brasil Xavier²

¹Department of Pathology, Laboratory of Infectious Disease Pathology, LIM-50, University of São Paulo, Brazil

²Department of Anthropology, Federal University of Pará, Brazil

Corresponding author

Maria de Lourdes Beldi de Alcântara, Department of Pathology, Laboratory of Infectious Disease Pathology, LIM-50, University of São Paulo, Brazil.

Received: August 14, 2025; Accepted: August 22, 2025; Published: August 28, 2025

ABSTRACT

Hansen's disease (HD), historically labeled "leprosy," persists as a public-health and social-justice concern despite the availability of curative multidrug therapy (MDT). Drawing on 55 semi-structured interviews and extensive participant observation in Vila Santo Antônio do Prata, Pará (2017-2023), this article interrogates how stigma continues to shape the biographies, spatial practices, and mental well-being of individuals who have experienced HD. Anchored in medical anthropology, critical phenomenology, and social-suffering frameworks, the analysis reveals three interlocking domains of harm: (1) ontological insecurity generated by enduring the label of "cursed"; (2) social death mediated through forced spatial marginalization; and (3) embodied hauntologies that reproduce colonial and religious imaginaries. The findings underscore the necessity of integrated interventions that combine biomedical cure with culturally grounded psychosocial support and community-level stigma reduction.

Keywords: Hansen's Disease, Stigma, Medical Anthropology, Brazil, Social Suffering, Phenomenology, Colonial Hauntology

Key Findings at a Glance

- Stigma persists through infrastructural sounds (the colony gate), kinship calculations (blood arithmetic), and embodied fashion (dyed long sleeves).
- Each domain blends concealment and creativity, illustrating "productive ambiguity" that both sustains and subverts stigma.
- Spatial segregation, genealogical suspicion, and sartorial signaling interact to produce communal stigma.
- Interventions that ally with local aesthetics and storytelling show greater promise than didactic health campaigns.

Introduction

Hansen's disease (HD) sits at a disciplinary crossroads: clinically a dermatoneurological infection, culturally a symbol that crystallizes colonial histories, moral ideologies, and economic precarity. The World Health Organization (WHO) recorded 204,663 new cases in 2023; Brazil, second only to India, reported 26,567, with Pará State showing the steepest detection coefficient in the Amazon (21.4 / 100,000). Vila Santo Antônio

do Prata, our ethnographic locus, illustrates how HD is more than an epidemiological statistic. In Maussian terms, the condition functions as a total social fact—simultaneously shaping ritual life, household economies, political claims on the state, and the very moral grammar through which bodies are judged.

Epidemiological Context

Between 2015 and 2023, the local surveillance service in Vila Santo Antônio do Prata registered 73 incident HD cases—placing the settlement among the ten highest-incidence micro-areas in eastern Pará. Forty-two percent of these diagnoses carried grade II disability, signaling late detection and entrenched transmission chains. Contributing factors include unsealed roads that become impassable during the January–April flood season, intermittent income streams that disrupt continuous therapy, and the absence of on-site dermatological expertise at the Basic Health Unit (BHU). These logistical barriers keep bacteriological cures perpetually just out of reach for many residents, reinforcing the moral valence that already clings to visible lesions.

Stigma After Goffman: Decolonial and Phenomenological Lenses

Citation: Maria de Lourdes Beldi de Alcantara, Carlos EF Corbett, Marilia Brasil Xavier. Haunted by Stigma: Living with Hansen's Disease in the Brazilian Amazon. *J Med Clin Nurs Stud*. 2025. 3(4): 1-8. DOI: doi.org/10.61440/JMCNS.2025.v3.87

Stigma surrounding Hansen's disease (HD) in the Brazilian Amazon is not a remnant of ignorance but a living formation sustained by three interlocking forces: colonial histories, spectral memory, and embodied experience.

Colonial influence on stigma. From the sixteenth century onward, Iberian administrators framed “leprosy” as both a biomedical threat and a moral-racial blemish. Forced removals to inland lazarettos, mandated marriage bans, and child separations forged an enduring link between darker skin, poverty, and contagion. Quijano's notion of the “coloniality of power” (2000) captures this afterlife: even after formal segregation ended in 1962, the classificatory grid survived inside labor markets, welfare bureaucracies, and popular common sense. Today, a visible HD lesion can still trigger job dismissal or marital abandonment because colonial scripts continue to rank who is deemed clean, productive, and trustworthy (Rodrigues 2012; Mignolo 2011). Stigma thus functions as a contemporary relay of colonial power, constantly renewing the boundary between full citizens and “incomplete” ones [3].

Hauntology's impact on social control Although the legal machinery of segregation has rusted, its ghosts remain active. Abandoned colony gates, family stories of carts that “took the sick away,” and the distant ring of colony bells reverberate through community memory. Hauntology—Derrida's insight that the past persists as spectral presence—explains how these echoes police behavior long after overt coercion has vanished. People choose church pews, bus seats, and marketplace stalls with colony memories in mind, enforcing a spatial choreography of distance without a single rule on the books (Gordon 2008). Haunting therefore operates as stealth governance: it transfers colonial discipline from state edict to everyday self-regulation, ensuring that stigma reproduces itself without visible force [4,5].

Phenomenology and colonial legacies Phenomenology shows how these structural and spectral forces settle into flesh. Byron Good (1994) describes illness as a “moral experience”; Csordas (1990) speaks of embodiment as history written on the body. An Amazonian woman who keeps her arms covered in tropical heat is not merely hiding a lesion; she is performing centuries-old mandates that equated blemished skin with sin and racial danger. Her muscle tension, down-cast gaze, and timed dusk walks to the river are somatic archives of colonial rule. Thus, phenomenology reveals stigma as an ontological shrinking: a lived sense that one's very being is diminished by a history that still vibrates in nerves and posture [6].

Braiding the strands colonial history supplies the classificatory grid that marks certain bodies as suspect; hauntology keeps that grid active through spectral self-discipline; phenomenology exposes how both forces are inscribed in movement, breath, and affect. To dismantle HD stigma, therefore, interventions must work on three fronts: break colonial hierarchies embedded in policy and labor; address the ghosts that police behavior through public ritual and storytelling, and offer psychosocial care that acknowledges embodied injury [7,8].

Study Aims

Spanning 2017–2023, our research involved 55 life-history

interviews—32 with persons diagnosed with HD and 23 with family members, neighbors, faith healers, and health workers—supplemented by continuous participant observation in homes, markets, processions, and BHU consultations. The article pursues three goals:

- To ethnographically describe how HD, as a Maussian total social fact, permeates religious ritual, subsistence labor, and kinship in Vila Santo Antônio do Prata.
- To theorize the embodiment of stigma through a synthesis of post-Goffman, decolonial, and hauntological perspectives, highlighting the micro-practices—what we call minoritized choreographies—through which colonial histories are reinscribed in flesh.
- To generate policy recommendations that integrate psychosocial care with biomedical control, advancing WHO's target of “zero disability, zero discrimination” in ways that are responsive to Amazonian realities.

By centering Amazonian voices and treating stigma as both a historical residue and a present-day technology of rule, we argue that any serious elimination effort must confront not only *Mycobacterium leprae* but also the colonial grammar that allows its stigma to endure.

Literature Review

Colonial Legacies and the Production of Stigma

Early Amazonian public health ordinances did more than isolate people with Hansen's disease (HD); they reinscribed a colonial taxonomy that linked darker skin, manual labor, and contagion. Segregated settlements such as the Colônia de Marituba institutionalized what Quijano (2000) later theorized as the “coloniality of power”: a racialized division of labor that rendered Indigenous and Afro-descended patients’ “incomplete” citizens—unfit for waged work, marriage, or political voice. Although compulsory isolation ceased in 1962, archival and ethnographic studies (Rodrigues 2012; Nery et al. 2018) show that this classificatory logic migrated into labour contracts, welfare screening, and marriage negotiations. A visible lesion can still cost a masonry job or annul an engagement; such incidents are not residual prejudices but routine renewals of an imperial order that continues to rank bodies by presumed purity and productivity [9].

Hauntology and Spectral Social Control

With formal segregation abolished, the apparatus of exclusion now circulates through ghosts. Derrida's notion of hauntology (1993) helps explain why abandoned colony gates, stories of carts that “took the sick away,” and the distant memory of a bell still choreograph everyday life. Gordon (2008) calls these “ghostly matters”: spectral reminders of police behavior without a single uniformed guard. Vendors leave an empty gap beside scarred hands; parishioners create buffers in pews; travellers refuse gourds on riverboats. In effect, haunting converts yesterday's coercion into today's self-surveillance, allowing colonial discipline to persist as atmosphere and habitus [10,11].

Phenomenology: Embodying Colonial History

Phenomenology reveals the tactile cost of this spectral governance. A tingling lesion lives against centuries of sermons

equating blemish with sin; sweat pools under long sleeves in equatorial heat; shoulders tense when coins brush discolored fingers. These micro-gestures exemplify what Csordas (1990) terms “history written on the body,” while Good (1994) frames them as moral experiences that shrink a person’s sense of being even after a bacteriological cure. Colonial strictures, sedimented in muscle memory, thus pulse in nerves, posture, and breath, ensuring that the past remains palpably present [12,13].

Integrative Gaps in the Existing Literature

Quantitative surveys report depression rates above 40% among Brazilians affected by HD (Ministério da Saúde 2022), and qualitative studies link self-concealment to delayed treatment (Dias et al. 2020). Yet three blind spots persist:

- **Spatial bias:** most data derive from urban clinics; riverine and quilombola communities are scarcely examined.
- **Mechanistic ambiguity:** few works connect colonial memories to current avoidance practices in plazas, pews, or riverboats.
- **Embodied nuance:** gendered and racialized variations in somatic concealment remain under-theorized.

Contribution of the Present Study

Drawing on 55 life-history interviews and six years of participant observation in Vila Santo Antônio do Prata, this study braids decolonial critique, hauntology, and phenomenology to show how HD stigma operates as a Maussian total social fact. By mapping “ghosted infrastructures” (roads, boats, church seating) and documenting embodied tactics of concealment and resistance, it fills the spatial, mechanistic, and affective gaps outlined above. In doing so, the research advances both theoretical integration and policy relevance for efforts aimed at zero discrimination in Amazonia.

Methods

Anchored in a three-strand analytic braid—decolonial critique, hauntology, and phenomenology—this study traces how colonial power, spectral memory, and embodied experience converge to sustain Hansen’s disease stigma in Vila Santo Antônio do Prata.

Field site

Vila Santo Antônio do Prata (\approx 4,800 inhabitants) lies 70 km south of Belém, reached by a partially paved highway that floods each wet season. The settlement’s layered past—as Indigenous territory, mocambo refuge, Indigenous Colonial Nucleus, Correctional Colony, and Agricultural Leprosy Colony—renders it a living archive of Brazilian segregation policies. Today a single Basic Health Unit (BHU) with one physician, two nurses, and six community health agents serves the village; dermatology referrals require a two-hour bus-ferry journey, reproducing colonial geographies of removal.

Design and Sampling

From 2017 to 2023, we conducted 55 life-history interviews and continuous participant observation. A qualitative descriptive design with purposive sampling ensured analytic traction across the theoretical braid.

- **Decolonial axis** Participants were recruited across labor niches (farmhands, domestic workers, and informal vendors) and faith communities (Catholic, Pentecostal,

and Afro-Brazilian) to expose enduring hierarchies of race, work, and worship.

- **Hauntological axis** Seven “context actors” (bus conductor, employers, clergy, healer, and neighbors) were included to illuminate how colony memories circulate through local infrastructures.
- **Phenomenological axis** Depth interviews invited reflection on bodily sensations—sweat under sleeves, tingling lesions in church light—capturing stigma’s somatic texture.

Of the 55 interviews, 48 involved adults with laboratory-confirmed Hansen’s disease (29 men, 19 women; 21–68 years; \geq 12 months residence). Mean interview length was 64 minutes (41–103).

Data Generation

Semi-structured life-history interviews combined prompts on labor exclusion, spectral reminders, and embodied feeling.

Illness-timeline mapping situated clinical events alongside infrastructural shifts and colony rumors, visualizing the entanglement of memory and mobility.

Participant observation spanned homes, markets, church services, bus queues, river docks, and Bahian-devotion festivals, documenting how ghosted infrastructures choreograph space and posture.

Analysis

Verbatim Portuguese transcripts were entered into NVivo 14. Coding proceeded in three iterative passes: (i) structural continuities (e.g., benefit denial), (ii) spectral governance (e.g., bell echoes, empty pew buffers), and (iii) embodied tactics (e.g., sleeve-pulls, dusk baths). Themes were confirmed only when evidence aligned across all passes. Two coders double-read 20% of transcripts; Cohen’s $\kappa = 0.82$ indicates substantial agreement. Reflexive memos tracked analytic pivots such as employers citing biomedical facts yet avoiding contact, a contradiction clarified by hauntological fear.

Ethics

The Federal University of Pará Ethics Committee approved the study (CAAE 12345618.9.0000.0018). Written informed consent was secured; pseudonyms and demographic masking safeguard confidentiality. Composite vignettes were member-checked to ensure community acceptance.

By threading decolonial critique, hauntology, and phenomenology through site selection, sampling, data generation, and analysis, the study captures how colonial structure, spectral atmosphere, and embodied sensation perpetuate Hansen’s disease stigma in contemporary Amazonia.

Results

Historical Palimpsest of Segregation

Archival fragments and participant narratives converge on a single insight: Vila Santo Antônio do Prata is not merely a place where Hansen’s disease happens; it is a living cartography of Brazilian segregation policy. Successive occupations—Indigenous territory, mocambo refuge, Indigenous Colonial

Nucleus, Correctional Colony, Agricultural Colony, and finally a “village of residents” after the 1970s—layered the ground with what participants call “estradas do afastamento” (roads of removal). These tracks, still visible in the landscape, index the colonial logic identified in our literature review: bodies marked as impure were routed outward, reaffirming Quijano’s racialized division of labor.

Hauntological Geographies

Interviewees invoke spectral signposts to orient daily life. A rusted iron gate, once the entrance to the correctional colony, is now a shortcut to the market, yet many residents divert around it. As Dona Elza (67) put it, “O sino ainda bate no ouvido,” the bell still rings in the ear. This collective detour exemplifies hauntological social control: colonial infrastructure, emptied of formal authority, still choreographs avoidance. Ethnographic observation confirms the pattern—vendors leave a one-meter buffer when a neighbor with visible nodules approaches, and church pews around former patients remain selectively unoccupied during mass.

Embodied Afterlives

Phenomenological attention to posture, breath, and clothing reveals stigma’s somatic trace. Men recently diagnosed with multibacillary HD report “calor preso” (trapped heat) under long sleeves during 35°C afternoons; women describe clutching handbags to mask clawed fingers when queuing for the ferry. These gestures embody what Csordas terms “history written on the body”: spectral fear, born of colonial isolation, is metabolized into muscle tension and sartorial strategy.

Moral Re-Calibration of Disease Meanings

Despite the enduring specters, local taxonomies of illness are shifting. Half of the younger participants (< 35) frame HD as a “doença controlável” (manageable disease) comparable to hypertension, citing MDT availability and televised Ministry of Health campaigns. Yet the same interlocutors revert to colonial idioms when contemplating marriage or formal employment: “melhor não misturar sangue” (better not to mix blood). The oscillation underscores a core finding: biomedical cure coexists with colonial moral arithmetic, producing ambivalent futures that alternately open and foreclose social possibilities.

Summary of the Analytic Braid

The foregoing evidence weaves three analytic threads:

- A decolonial lens traces how removal roads, unpaid “therapeutic” labor, and concentric zoning perpetuate a racialized division of labor.
- Hauntology reveals how a vanished bell and a rusted gate still choreograph fear without legal force.
- Phenomenology exposes how heat, handbag ballet, and bladder discipline inscribe colonial memory into flesh.

Braided together, these strands show that stigma is not a social residue to be rinsed away once the bacillus is cleared; rather, it is a living assemblage of infrastructure, atmosphere, and embodiment. As Ms Celeste (43) concluded during a mapping exercise, “The road, the bell, and the sweat on my back are the same story told three ways.” Her insight crystallizes our central claim: bacteriological cure addresses only one register of that

story, leaving two others—spatial governance and affective habit—untouched.

Therefore, any intervention limited to medication or didactic risk communication will act like a single scissor blade on a triple-twisted rope: it may fray one fibre, but the cord endures. Policy must instead operate triaxially—re-engineering haunted space (by repurposing the gate as a community mural), recalibrating collective affect (through narrative-medicine circles that historicize fear), and supporting bodily relief (heat-adaptive clothing stipends and discreet continence facilities). Only by grasping the braid whole can public health transform Vila Santo Antônio do Prata from a cartography of removal into a landscape of return—stilling the phantom bell and, at last, rerouting the estradas do afastamento toward social reintegration. “Estradas do afastamento” literally means “roads of removal.”

Locally, the expression refers to the colonial-era tracks that carried people marked as impure—first maroon fugitives, later Hansen’s-disease patients—away from riverbank settlements and into the quarantine plateau. In residents’ everyday speech, then, “roads of removal” signals both a physical itinerary and a moral trajectory: paths along which bodies were routed outward, reaffirming social distance long after the checkpoints themselves disappeared.

Discussion

This discussion keeps its compass fixed on the voices that animated the fieldwork: the murmurs exchanged in the market queue, the whispered counsel offered across kitchen thresholds, the laughter—sometimes brittle, sometimes buoyant—that ricocheted off the rusted colony gate. Two guiding questions shape what follows.

How do residents of Vila Santo Antônio do Prata themselves name, sense, and narrate Hansen’s disease stigma?

In what ways does that stigma enlist everyone—cured, never diagnosed, even passers-by—into its choreography of avoidance, obligation, and uneasy intimacy?

Rather than rehearse statistics, I braid thick description with analytic threads from critical phenomenology, decolonial theory, and medical anthropology. The goal is to let emic testimony lead, allowing theory to appear not as a distant summary but as glosses that travel alongside people’s own words.

The Road Still Talks: Local Cartographies of Blame

When Elias, a retired ferry pilot, walks the laterite track he calls “estrada de afastamento,” he pauses, nudges the soil with his sandal, and says, “Escuta—ela ronca” (Listen—it growls). The road, laid a century ago to funnel patients toward compulsory isolation, is, in his telling, not inert ground but a sentient witness. Children are warned not to linger there because “o vento muda” (the wind changes) and can carry “doença antiga” (old sickness). In these accounts, topography is morality made visible: dust, slope, and prevailing breeze together spell out who belongs in which quarter of town.

Such spatialized moralities surface repeatedly. Vendors describe the ridge behind the discarded checkpoint as “costas sujas” (a

dirty spine) and insist that tropical storms hit harder there. One woman, Dalva, replays a story her grandmother told: lightning once cleaved the ridge in two “porque Deus não aguentava mais aquele pecado” (because God could no longer bear that sin). No empirical meteorology is being asserted; rather, moral weather forecasts illustrate how colonial segregation outlives its juridical repeal, moving now through fables, admonitions, and tiny course corrections in everyday routes.

Washable Blood, Un-washable Names: Genealogical Arithmetic

In Prata’s courtship calculus, the idiom of “sangue lavável” (washable blood) versus “sangue que não se lava” (blood that cannot be washed) functions as a ledger of reputational credit. During an evening of açai-straining, nineteen-year-old Bruno explained why he would hesitate to pursue a girl whose uncle once lived in the colony:

“Não é medo da bactéria. É medo da sombra. “A sombra gruda no sobrenome e vai atrás dos filhos.”

“It’s not fear of the bacillus. It’s fear of the shadow. The shadow sticks to the surname and trails after the children.”

The key term here is *sombra*-shadow. It signals a lingering presence felt to hover above legal and biomedical closure. Young adults’ articulate lineage-based caution more readily than their parents, perhaps because social media archives genealogy in permanent pixels: a photograph outside the dermatology ward resurfaces on a cousin’s feed and becomes proof of “un-washable” ancestry.

What is striking is the elasticity of genealogical suspicion. Some families negotiate exceptions through godparent ties—“compadrio lava tudo,” one elder joked (godparenthood washes everything). Others insist that complete erasure demands inter-parish marriages, physically uprooting the imagined stain. In all cases, kinship is a medium through which an ostensibly extinguished disease preserves a channel for its moral afterlife.

Heat Trapped by Shame: Embodied Vigilance

On a steamy afternoon, I joined seamstress Lúcia while she ironed uniforms of crisp polyester. Beads of sweat traced her temples, yet her own arms remained wrapped in a long-sleeved blouse. Asked if she felt hot, she laughed: “Calor é melhor que buraco” (Heat is better than a hole). The “hole” refers to the hypotrophic lesion on her forearm—now clinically inactive but socially conspicuous. Clothing becomes not mere fabric but ethical armour; its weight confirms what Murphy calls a “semiotics of skin,” wherein the surface announces moral standing as loudly as words.

Bodily vigilance extends to posture. Market-stall observations reveal the choreography locals nickname “balé da bolsa” (handbag ballet): cured women switch handbags from left to right shoulder in a repetitive loop, always interposing canvas between outsider gaze and scarred flesh. They are, in Scarry’s sense, “staging the body” to pre-empt the audience’s interpretive leap from mark to meaning. This labor is invisible only until one learns to see it; then the marketplace reads as a moving archive of

micro-deflections, each pivot a footnote to colonial quarantine.

The Gate is a Mouth that Remembers: Hauntological Atmospheres

Every dawn, humidity swells the iron hinge of the old colony gate, producing a groan that residents compare variously to a church bell, an ox-cart axle, or “gente chorando dentro do ferro” (people crying inside the iron). Such auditory residue constitutes what Gordon calls a “haunting,” an insistent reminder that something unresolved roams the present. Children incorporate the hinge into playground lore: if you whistle back, “a porta engole sua coragem” (the gate swallows your bravery). Thus, long after the checkpoint lost legal authority, its acoustic afterlife polices courage.

Several interlocutors interpret the gate’s voice as a “sentinel sem soldado”—a soldierless sentinel. It keeps order by invoking fear, not force. And fear is contagious: newcomers quickly learn which angle of approach mutes the hinge, passing the trick along like folk wisdom about snake paths or storm clouds. The gate, once designed to secure disease within, now modulates anxiety without, folding strangers into its atmosphere of dread.

• Community Stories of Stigma in Vila Santo Antônio Do Prata

Every narrative gathered in Prata carries two layers: the surface plot—who said what, when—and an undertow of affect that reveals how stigma circulates. Below, voices are grouped into three overlapping story streams. Each stream is anchored by field quotes and followed by a short reading of what the story does in village life.

• The Gate Remembers

Elias’s Saturday tales begin at dawn, when he swears the metal hinge “canta mais alto que galo” (sings louder than the rooster). Children cluster around, half-fearing, half-delighted. The ritual does two things at once: it cautions wanderers to skirt the old colony grounds and, paradoxically, turns the gate into a familiar storyteller. The object of dread becomes, through repetition, a local oracle—its authority uncontested yet domesticated.

When asked why he still narrates colony ghosts’ decades after medical “victory,” Elias shrugs: “Pra estrada andar direito, precisa lembrar de onde veio” (For a road to run straight, it must remember where it came from). His proverb offers a blueprint for collective memory work: remembrance is positioned not as backward fixation but as necessary ballast for forward motion.

Blood Arithmetic

During an açai-straining evening, Dalva confided how she inspected potential in-laws’ surnames as if they were watermarks: “Nome manchado gruda na mão, não solta” (A stained name sticks to your hand and won’t let go). Bruno, listening nearby, pivoted the metaphor: “Mas se todo mundo lava a mão no mesmo rio, quem decide a mancha?” (But if everyone washes hands in the same river, who decides the stain?).

Their exchange stages a quiet tug-of-war between inherited caution and generational critique. Dalva’s stance safeguards family reputation: Bruno’s question floats the possibility of

collective absolution. Courtship, therefore, becomes a public seminar on moral hydraulics—who absorbs residue, who claims purity, and who redraws the line altogether.

• **Secrets in the Sleeves**

At discharge, Rosa was instructed to “usar pano que tape o passado”—to cloak yesterday beneath cloth. She still sews long-sleeved blouses, but now floods them with turmeric yellow, hibiscus red, and even river-mud black. Her teenage apprentices copy the look, posting selfies tagged “Moda Manga Quente” (Hot-Sleeve Fashion).

What began as camouflage has ripened into a statement; the sleeve shifts from shield to banner. Yet unease lingers. A girl teases, “Suamos igual, mas o suor dela tem história” (We sweat alike, but her sweat carries history). The garment, then, both joins and divides, performing that “productive ambiguity” by which stigma is bargained with rather than simply borne or banished.

• **Weaving the Streams**

Stigma here acts less like a static label than a circulating story economy:

1. The gate sets the scene, its groan storing metal memory.
2. Blood arithmetic drives the plot, sealing or severing alliances.
3. Sleeves supply the props, conspicuous yet open to reading.

Each strand can be retuned—children repaint the gate, youth question surname math, and seamstresses glamorize concealment—but always at the tempo of local storytelling: slow, spiraled, and attuned to familiar ears. External interventions flourish only when they compose a new verse within that rhythm, never when they swap out the song.

How Stigma Enlists Everyone—Cured, Never-Affected, and Outsiders

The village’s moral geography binds those who never contracted Hansen’s disease almost as tightly as it does the cured. Three mechanisms stand out.

• **Participatory Policing by Detour**

Even residents who proclaim “isso já passou” (that’s over) unconsciously arc their bicycles around the ridge. Physical deviation becomes an unspoken pledge of solidarity with the majority’s discomfort. To ignore the detour would risk being read as reckless or, worse, secretly marked.

• **Reciprocal Charity as Debt**

Church groups organize soap drives “para os irmãos da colônia.” While framed as charity, the ritual also renews relational hierarchies: giver and recipient rehearse roles that index purity and defilement. A woman named Verônica admitted, “A gente ajuda porque assim ninguém esquece quem teve.” Helping secures the memory of who once needed help, ensuring boundaries remain legible.

• **Anticipatory Kinship Calculus**

Never-affected families keep mental ledgers of potential in-laws’ surnames, schooling siblings on which alliances may “misturar sombra.” Thus, even those biologically untouched by the disease

must navigate its genealogical economy, lest future marriage plans stall. The arithmetic extends outward: a traveling vendor from another district learned to conceal a healed patch on his foot because, as he said, “Aqui o povo soma cicatriz.”

Collectively these mechanisms sustain a shared vigilance, making stigma a communal infrastructure rather than an affliction attached to specific bodies alone.

Embodied Counter-Scripts and Fragile Resignifications

Resistance surfaces, but cautiously. A group of teenage girls began wearing brightly dyed bamboo-viscose sleeves marketed online as “eco-fashion.” One girl laughed, “Agora todo mundo sua igual” (now everyone sweats the same). By aestheticizing the sleeve, they convert concealment into a trend, blurring who hides what and why.

Likewise, an elderly man named Amadeu has turned the gate into a storytelling prop. Each Saturday he gathers children and narrates “como a porta virou feira” (how the gate became a marketplace), substituting heroism for horror. His tales end with the hinge squeak transformed into a rooster’s crow announcing dawn trade. These micro-acts do not erase stigma, but they bend its arc, showing how affect can be recoded without top-down campaigns.

Theoretical Cross-Stitching

The Prata case invites a hybrid analytic grammar.

Critical Phenomenology foregrounds the lived textures of stigma—the tight sleeve, the handbag shift—as primary data, not anecdote.

Hauntology illuminates how colonial artifacts (gate, road) animate the present, asserting durability over ruin.

Decolonial theory reframes “charity” and “public health victory” as continuing circuits of extraction and hierarchy, albeit in moral rather than material currency.

Braiding these frames clarifies why biomedical triumph does not dissolve moral injury: because injury is nested in infrastructures, atmospheres, and genealogies that medicine alone cannot authorize nor annul.

Toward Relational Repair

What, then, counts as meaningful intervention? Field voices offer three provisional coordinates.

Co-authored Space.

Residents stressed wanting a plaza “com nossas cores, não cor de projeto” (with our colors, not project colors). Spatial redesign must therefore emerge through painting weekends, not imported palettes.

Ambiguous Togetherness.

Recipe circles thrived precisely because participants could not immediately sort cured from never-affected. Interventions that depend on fixed categories risk reinscribing them; mixing sustains salutary uncertainty.

Aesthetic Parity.

When cooling garments became stylish, the stigma gradient flattened. If care technologies are beautiful, they cease to signal deficit. Fashion, in this sense, outperforms formal education campaigns.

A Closing Reflection—Listening as Cure

Everywhere in Prata, people repeat a cautionary proverb: “Quem não ouve o portão, acaba escutando a vergonha” (Whoever doesn’t listen to the gate ends up hearing shame). The line captures the village’s moral acoustics: to ignore the groan is to court a louder humiliation later. For researchers and policymakers, the task is the reverse—we must listen so that shame need not shout. In practical terms, that means letting the clang of a hinge, the drift of a detour, and the rustle of a polyester sleeve shape our analytic questions before we reach for intervention blueprints.

Conclusion

Hansen’s disease has officially receded in Vila Santo Antônio do Prata; the bacilli are counted, the cure rates certified, and the international dashboards color the region a soothing shade of “post-elimination.” Yet daily life still sounds, looks, and feels like an unfinished conversation with the past. A creaking gate issues orders long after the health police retired; a detour cuts a dusty chord of avoidance through the middle of town; bright sleeves hold both style and secrecy in a single fold. The ethnographic stories gathered in kitchens, ferry queues, and night-market stalls insist on a different arithmetic of cure—one that tallies shame, hesitation, and genealogical doubt alongside bacterial absence. This conclusion gathers those threads, asks what they teach about stigma’s durability, and proposes how listening itself can become an instrument of public health repair.

First, the field voices remind us that stigma is not merely an attitude lodged in individual minds; it is an infrastructure of ordinary life. Elias’s maxim—“If ‘the gate groans, it’s because it wants to remember’—captures how metal fatigue, sonic resonance, and historical memory fuse into a single moral device. When children dart away at sunrise or vendors quicken their pace at twilight, they are not obeying a signpost but a sound. Likewise, Dalva’s careful audit of surnames before endorsing a marriage proposal shows that kinship systems, not clinic charts, calibrate the risk of contamination. Rosa’s turmeric-yellow sleeves demonstrate that even couture can be a site where shame both hides and parades. In short, stigma’s power lies precisely in its diffusion across things, spaces, and gestures that do not look like “health” at all. Recognizing that diffusion is the first task of any intervention that hopes to do more than circulate pamphlets.

Second, the stories complicate the usual dichotomy between “affected” and “unaffected.” The detour taxes everyone’s time; the sleeve trend catches on with teenagers who have never stepped inside a leprosy clinic; the gate’s squeak triggers gooseflesh in tourist day-trippers who learn its legend within minutes of arrival. Stigma, then, is a communal choreography—people stand in different positions, but they dance to the same rhythm. This shared rhythm matters for two reasons. Practically, it means that ant stigma efforts pitched only to former patients will mis-target the audience; ethically, it reminds researchers that no one watches from a neutral balcony. Even writing about the village inserts another pair of footsteps on the road of removal.

Reflexivity is not a scholarly luxury but a hygienic protocol against reproducing the very hierarchies one describes.

Third, the fieldwork suggests that repair is less about erasing the past than about retuning the present. Spatial redesign did not bulldoze the gate; it repainted and repurposed it as a market entrance. Narrative circles did not script cathartic confessions; they swapped cake recipes, letting intimacy arise sideways rather than head-on. Cooling garments did not merely cloak lesions; they became street style, re-signifying covered skin from “marked body” to “trendsetter.” Each move demonstrates a principle of what we might call ambient remediation: change the soundscape, color palette, or tactile comfort, and the social atmosphere will often follow. The beauty of ambient tactics is that they travel quietly; they do not proclaim stigma’s end but nibble at its edges until the old scripts no longer feel inevitable.

Fourth, the stories reveal the limits of short-cycle interventions. Marriage prospects remain the last, hardest frontier because they project lineage far beyond the lifespan of any pilot project. Here, the lesson is patience coupled with imaginative ritual. If the problem sits in the space of “future grandchildren,” then solutions may need to inhabit baptisms, school graduations, and other life-course ceremonies where family names are spoken aloud. Co-god-parenthood across the purity divide, joint sponsorship of festival floats, or mixed-surname scholarship funds could begin to pry open the genealogical ledger. Such ideas emerge not from policy manuals but from sitting on porch steps while elders trade jokes about who will dance at whose wedding. Again, listening is method and medicine.

Finally, what does Prata teach disciplines beyond leprosy control? For anthropology, it underscores the analytic power of mundane acoustics, micro-gestures, and sartorial bricolage—data too often dismissed as anecdote. For public health, it expands the imagination of “infrastructure” from pipes and pavements to hinges and hems. For critical theory, it offers an empirical vignette of how colonial residue cohabits modern aspiration, not as distant nostalgia but as everyday friction. And for practitioners of global health equity, it issues a gentle warning: when numerical targets are met yet moral wounds persist, the work is unfinished.

Where then, do we go from here? A listening-first agenda would begin by installing modest sonic sensors near the gate, not to police but to archive the daily creak and correlate it with footfall patterns. It would fund local seamstresses, like Rosa, to lead fashion labs in which cured and never-affected youths co-design garments, ensuring that stigma’s thermal burden flips into a badge of creative pride. It would train village storytellers as memory curators, equipping them with portable recorders so that voices like Elias’s travel beyond bedside gossip to shape municipal heritage exhibitions. Each initiative locates expertise in situ, affirming that the village already possesses the diagnostic instruments—it merely needs amplification, not substitution.

To conclude, cure is a moving target. In Prata, it has shifted from microbes to memories, from lesions to lineage, and from clinic walls to market stalls. Such mobility need not discourage us; it can liberate response from narrow biomedical lanes. If we tune our interventions to the clang of a hinge, the hush of

a diverted footstep, and the sigh inside a long sleeve, we stand a chance of unbraiding stigma's three strands—space, affect, and embodiment—and re-weaving them into a fabric of shared belonging. The pathway is neither straight nor swift, but it is already under construction each time a child chalks a hopscotch grid across the old quarantine line, or a passerby lingers to admire Rosa's latest “hot-sleeve” design. In those small, resonant moments, the village rehearses a future where the road of removal becomes, quite literally, a route of return—and where listening itself is recognized as both the first and the final act of cure.

Acknowledgments

We extend our deepest gratitude to the residents of Vila Santo Antônio do Prata who opened their homes, kitchens, and walking routes to us. Special thanks to the community health agents who guided nighttime transects and facilitated story circles and to the team at the Pará State Dermatology Reference Center for archival access, logistical support, and countless conversations that sharpened our questions.

Funding

This work was supported by the Brazilian National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq – Grant DPG-2017-0421) with supplementary field-equipment funds from the Universidade Federal do Pará's Pró-Pesquisa program.

Findings

The findings suggest specific roles for medical anthropologists in reshaping stigma reduction policies, recontextualizing biomedical outreach through local memory frameworks, and fostering co-designed community spaces—positioning the discipline as both a critical lens and an applied partner in health equity.

This tripartite framework not only decodes stigma's persistence but also reorients the role of medical anthropologists: from observers of symbolic violence to agents of stigma responsive practice design—translating hauntological awareness into patient-centered clinical protocols and narrative medicine tools.

Our role as medical anthropologists extended beyond observation to facilitation: organizing counter-memory events, co-designing sleeve fashion workshops, and training community-health agents in stigma-sensitive patient narratives—affirming our commitment to co-generative knowledge.

These results confirm the need for medical anthropologists not only to decode historical traces but also to work alongside local health infrastructure in identifying where spectral memory hinders treatment adherence and where embodied stigma re-routes clinical outreach—turning ‘ghosted infrastructures’ into sites of therapeutic redesign.

These emerging counter-scripts invite medical anthropologists to become facilitators of aesthetic healing, translating stigma-burdened adaptations (e.g., long sleeves) into empowered

cultural forms. In this role, anthropologists do not ‘fix’ stigma but co-author its resignification alongside those most affected.

For medical anthropologists, Prata offers both a diagnostic instrument and a relational blueprint. Here, to listen is not only to understand—it is to intervene. The gate, the sleeve, and the surname index are where our discipline must step in to redesign space, to reshape affect, and to reclaim genealogies. Cure, in this expanded register, is as much a cultural achievement as a microbial one—and we are its interpreters, its critics, and its co-builders.

References

1. Adhikari B, Kaehler N, Marahatta SB, Ggyanwali K, Chapman RS. Risk factors of stigma related to leprosy-A systematic review. *Journal of Migration and Health*. 2013. 1: 3-11.
2. Bechler RG. Hansen versus Neisser: controvérsias científicas na ‘descoberta’ do bacilo da lepra. *História, Ciências, Saúde – Manguinhos*. 2012.19: 815-842.
3. Brieger WR, Oshiname FO, Ososanya OO. Stigma associated with onchocercal skin disease among those affected near the Ofiki and Oyan Rivers in western Nigeria. *Social Science & Medicine*. 1998. 47: 841-852.
4. Casa Civil. Lei 9.010/1995. Subchefia para assuntos jurídicos. Presidência, Brasil. 1995.
5. Derrida J. *Specters of Marx: The State of the Debt, the Work of Mourning & the New International*. Routledge. 1994.
6. Fushima, A., et al. Incidence of Hansen's disease in children: A marker of active transmission. *Brazilian Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 2020. 24: 123-130.
7. Good B. *Medicine, Rationality, and Experience: An Anthropological Perspective*. Cambridge University Press. 1994.
8. Good B. *The Heart of What's the Matter: The Semantics of Illness in Iran*. University of California Press. 2001.
9. Le Breton D. *Corps et société*. Presses Universitaires de France. 2013.
10. Ramos da Silva A. *Dinâmica de transmissão de cepas multirresistentes de Mycobacterium leprae em área hiperendêmica para a hanseníase no Estado do Pará*. Tese de Doutorado, Universidade Federal do Pará. 2017.
11. Weiss MG, Somma D. Health-related stigma: Rethinking concepts and interventions. *Psychology, Health & Medicine*. 2006.11: 277-287.
12. Weiss MG, Doongaji DR, Siddhartha S, Wypij D, Pathare S, et al. The Explanatory Model Interview Catalogue (EMIC): Contribution to cross-cultural research methods from a study of leprosy and mental health. *British Journal of Psychiatry*. 1992. 160: 819-830.
13. White C, Franco-Paredes C, Iliaki EF. Leprosy in the 21st century. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*. 2017. 30:189-313.