ISSN: 2977-0041

Research Article

Journal of Material Sciences and Engineering Technology

From Waste to Wealth: The Strategic Role of Oil Palm in Advancing Circular Economy Solutions

Loso Judijanto

IPOSS Jakarta, Indonesia

Corresponding author
Loso Judijanto, IPOSS Jakarta, Indonesia.

Received: July 21, 2025; **Accepted:** July 28, 2025; **Published:** August 05, 2025

ABSTRACT
The fast-paced expansion of palm oil production has contributed to a significant increase in biomass waste, posing environmental challenges but also offering significant opportunities for circular economy (CE) innovations. This research seeks to explore in depth the pivotal function of oil palm residues in promoting circular economy practices by integrating existing insights into waste utilization strategies. Employing a qualitative literature review methodology, data were systematically collected from over 60 peer-reviewed articles and authoritative reports, focusing exclusively on secondary sources. The gathered data were analyzed through thematic content analysis to identify key patterns, technologies, and policy frameworks driving CE integration in the palm-based oil industry. The data suggest that by-products like empty fruit bunches, palm kernel shells, and mill effluent from oil palm are being successfully converted into renewable energy, organic fertilizers, and bio-based product development, leading to a decrease in environmental degradation and the enhancement of economic returns. Despite technological advancements, challenges remain in policy support, infrastructure, and stakeholder engagement, particularly among smallholder farmers. The study concludes that optimizing oil palm waste management within CE frameworks is critical for sustainable palm oil production and calls for enhanced multi-stakeholder collaboration and policy coherence. Future research should focus on empirical assessments of CE implementation impacts and inclusive strategies to empower all value chain actors. This paper offers an in-depth perspective on the potential for integrating circular economy concepts into oil palm waste management practices, supporting sustainable development goals.

Keywords: Oil Palm Waste, Circular Economy, Biomass Valorization, Renewable Energy, Sustainable Agriculture, Oil Palm

Introduction

The worldwide economy is experiencing a significant shift prompted by the critical necessity to separate economic expansion from environmental harm. Conventional linear economic frameworks—centered on the extract, produce, discard approach—have played a major role in exhausting natural resources, polluting ecosystems, and accelerating climate change [1]. Reacting to these challenges, CE has evolved into a restorative economic framework that prioritizes sustainability by reducing waste, preserving product worth, and completing material cycles [2]. As nations seek to achieve the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) emphasize targets that make the application of circular economy principles progressively more important, particularly in resource-intensive industries such as agriculture and agro-industry [3].

Among the sectors with significant potential to operationalize CE principles is the palm oil industry. Recognized for its exceptional yield per hectare, oil palm serves as a vital component in the worldwide distribution of food, beauty products, and renewable energy sources [4]. However, this industry has long been associated with deforestation, biodiversity loss, and social conflicts, sparking widespread debates about its sustainability [5]. At the same time, the sector generates substantial amounts of by-products, such as empty fruit bunches (EFB), palm oil mill effluent (POME), and palm kernel shells, that often go

Citation: Loso Judijanto. From Waste to Wealth: The Strategic Role of Oil Palm in Advancing Circular Economy Solutions. J Mat Sci Eng Technol. 2025. 3(3): 1-7. DOI: doi.org/10.61440/JMSET.2025.v3.62

underutilized or are improperly discarded [6]. This waste, if strategically valorized, offers significant opportunities to support the advancement of circular economy models, transforming environmental liabilities into economic assets.

The notion of waste to wealth has gained traction in recent years, driven by advances in biotechnology, waste-to-energy systems, and industrial symbiosis frameworks [7]. For instance, Empty fruit bunches (EFB) may be transformed into bio-composite products, while palm oil mill effluent (POME) serves as a viable source for biogas recovery, and ash residues can be used as organic fertilizer [8]. These innovations not only reduce environmental footprints but also open new economic opportunities for rural communities, smallholders, and agro-industrial enterprises. The integration of such circular practices into oil palm operations can improve resource efficiency, enhance environmental performance, and foster inclusive green growth [9].

In the Southeast Asian region, particularly in Indonesia and Malaysia, which jointly produce over 85% of global palm oil, shifting to a circular economy is a critical necessity rather than an optional decision [10]. The ecological strain resulting from alterations in land utilization and increasing global scrutiny over sustainability standards compels industry players and policymakers to seek holistic, long-term solutions. National roadmaps and international commitments, including initiatives like Indonesia's ISPO scheme and the EU's second Renewable Energy Directive (RED II), increasingly emphasize traceability, waste reduction, and bioeconomy integration [11]. However, implementation remains uneven, with challenges stemming from technological barriers, institutional fragmentation, and limited knowledge diffusion among smallholders [12].

Recent literature highlights that while the potential of the circular economy in the palm oil sector is widely acknowledged, there remains a lack of systematic synthesis regarding the strategic roles that oil palm can play across various CE domains [13]. Existing studies often focus narrowly on technical conversions (e.g., biogas production or fibre utilization) without framing these innovations within broader CE strategies, such as regenerative design, cascading use, or closed-loop supply chains [14]. Moreover, interdisciplinary perspectives—integrating environmental science, policy analysis, and socio-economic dimensions—are crucial to developing scalable and context-specific CE models for the palm oil sector [15].

Given this context, this study aims to explore and synthesize existing literature on the strategic role of oil palm in advancing circular economy solutions, emphasizing not only technological innovation but also systemic change and policy alignment. By employing a qualitative literature review approach, this paper seeks to identify key themes, opportunities, and challenges faced in repurposing waste from the palm oil sector into productive elements for renewed economic flows. At its core, this work seeks to offer a conceptual structure to steer subsequent academic inquiry, policy design, and industry practices aligned with a sustainable circular approach in the palm oil industry.

Literature Review

Once considered a marginal environmental approach, the circular economy (CE) has now become a foundational pillar within international sustainability frameworks, aiming to reconcile economic development with ecological boundaries. Its application across industries is increasingly diverse; however, within agriculture—especially the palm oil sector—the circular economy (CE) is still insufficiently conceptualized and sporadically applied, despite its significant capacity to reduce waste, add value, and foster system resilience [16]. Historically, the palm oil sector has faced unfair sustainability criticisms due to its ecological impacts, yet the same industry produces large volumes of organic by-products that, if appropriately valorized, may be reintroduced into manufacturing processes or transformed into valuable products [17].

The literature broadly recognizes the strategic value of biomass from oil palm operations as a key input for renewable energy generation, organic fertilizers, and industrial feedstock [18]. Among the residues most extensively researched are empty fruit bunches (EFB), mesocarp fibre, palm kernel shells, and palm oil mill effluent (POME), each offering distinct opportunities for circular reintegration [19]. For example, Palm oil mill effluent (POME) has been investigated as a potential feedstock for biogas generation, reducing methane emissions while supplying clean energy to rural areas or processing facilities [20]. Similarly, EFB has demonstrated potential in the manufacturing of bio-composites, soil enhancers, and pelletized fuel, positioning it as a cornerstone of biomass valorization strategies [21].

Technological innovation has accelerated the feasibility of waste-to-wealth models in palm oil production. Advances in anaerobic digestion, pyrolysis, and fermentation processes enable not only energy recovery but also the extraction of secondary metabolites such as polyphenols, enzymes, and organic acids from oil palm waste [22]. These outputs can serve multiple value chains across food, cosmetic, pharmaceutical, and biofuel industries, aligning closely with the CE principles of cascading resource use and extended material lifespan [23]. However, the translation of technical feasibility into industrial adoption remains hindered by infrastructural gaps, limited cross-sector collaboration, and economic viability concerns [24].

Policy frameworks and certification programs have been instrumental in guiding the adoption of sustainable practices, yet their emphasis on traceability and deforestation-free supply chains often overlooks the circular economy dimensions embedded in waste valorization [25]. Initiatives like the Indonesian Sustainable Palm Oil (ISPO) and Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO) have begun to integrate CE components, but with limited enforcement and weak operational definitions [26]. This lack of standardization in CE metrics within the palm oil context makes comparative analysis and benchmarking difficult, both at the enterprise and national levels [27].

Socio-economic literature underscores the importance of inclusive strategies that integrate smallholders into the circular value chain. In many oil palm-producing countries, independent smallholders comprise a substantial share of landholders yet lack access to the technology, finance, and knowledge necessary to implement circular innovations [28]. Without targeted capacity-building programs and inclusive infrastructure models, the transition toward CE may exacerbate existing inequalities in the industry [29]. As such, CE strategies must not only be technologically and ecologically viable but also socially inclusive and context-sensitive to ensure their sustainability over time.

Furthermore, the academic discourse suggests a fragmentation of research across disciplines, leading to an incomplete understanding of oil palm's role in CE systems. While engineering-focused studies often concentrate on process optimization and conversion efficiency, environmental assessments highlight life cycle impacts without fully accounting for socio-economic feedback loops [30]. An integrative literature review that bridges these disciplinary silos is essential to outline strategic pathways for a circular palm oil industry—ones that are rooted in empirical evidence, adaptable to regional contexts, and responsive to evolving sustainability demands.

Method

This study adopts a qualitative research methodology, specifically employing a qualitative literature review design to explore the strategic role of oil palm in advancing circular economy (CE) solutions. Rather than relying on empirical field methods such as interviews, surveys, or focus group discussions, this approach focuses exclusively on the systematic and interpretative analysis of secondary sources to construct a conceptual understanding of the subject. The qualitative literature review allows for an in-depth synthesis of diverse scholarly perspectives, offering a holistic and contextualized view of how oil palm waste streams are transformed into valuable resources within CE frameworks. This methodological choice is grounded in the premise that an extensive body of existing research already provides rich theoretical and empirical material to analyze the intersections between palm oil production and circularity practices.

The main instrument of this research is the researcher as the interpreter and synthesizer of relevant academic literature. All data were derived from published peer-reviewed journal articles, scientific reports, institutional publications, and high-quality grey literature with a focus on sustainability, circular economy, biomass utilization, and palm oil industries. The literature selection process was guided by relevance, credibility, and alignment with the research objective. Articles were sourced from reputable academic databases including Scopus, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink, and Taylor & Francis, ensuring a diverse and interdisciplinary foundation for the review.

Data collection was conducted through a purposive sampling strategy, emphasizing publications from the last ten years to ensure contemporary relevance, while also including earlier seminal works where necessary. Each selected source was examined for its contribution to at least one of the following thematic categories: waste valorization technologies, circular economy models in agro-industry, policy frameworks related to palm oil sustainability, and socio-economic impacts of CE transitions. The collection process was assisted by reference management software (Mendeley Desktop) to ensure accuracy, traceability, and efficient organization of over 60 scholarly references.

The data analysis employed in this study followed a thematic synthesis approach. Key concepts and patterns were identified, coded, and grouped into thematic clusters that represent recurring ideas or strategic trends in the literature. Through iterative reading and comparison, the study distilled these themes into a coherent analytical narrative that explains the role of oil palm in circular economy transitions. Attention was given to contrasting perspectives, knowledge gaps, and potential areas for policy and innovation leverage. This method enables a critical reflection on existing knowledge while avoiding speculative interpretation not supported by published evidence.

By grounding the analysis in established literature and employing a rigorous qualitative framework, this study ensures both the reliability and theoretical depth necessary for a scholarly contribution to circular economy discourse, particularly in the context of palm oil-producing regions. The methodology is intentionally designed to avoid fictitious or fabricated data by relying solely on verified academic sources.

Results

The qualitative literature review conducted reveals a comprehensive and multi-dimensional picture of the repurposing of oil palm waste as valuable inputs in circular economy approaches. Analysis of over 60 peer-reviewed articles and institutional reports identified significant advances in the use of oil palm by-products, including empty fruit bunches (EFB), palm kernel shells (PKS), mesocarp fibre, and palm oil mill effluent (POME), which is significant. It is estimated that roughly 85% of the biomass produced during milling processes consists of residues that can be valorized [31]. Worldwide, the palm oil sector is responsible for generating approximately 25 million tons of biomass waste each year, with EFB constituting around 40%, PKS 20%, and POME contributing nearly 60 million cubic meters per year, representing substantial feedstock for CE processes [32].

The energy recovery sector within oil palm CE solutions demonstrates notable progress. Biogas production from POME through anaerobic digestion has become a leading example of waste-to-energy conversion, with pilot and commercial plants reporting methane yields ranging from 0.25 to 0.35 m^3 CH_4 per kg of COD removed, translating to energy outputs sufficient to meet up to 30-40% of mill energy demands [33]. Some facilities have achieved net-zero carbon emissions by integrating combined heat and power systems fueled by biogas generated from POME, significantly reducing reliance on fossil fuels [34]. Furthermore, biochar and pellet production from PKS and EFB are increasingly documented as viable alternatives to coal and wood, with calorific values between 18-22 MJ/kg and conversion efficiencies reaching up to 75%, offering clean and renewable fuel sources [35].

In the agricultural sector, oil palm residues have been effectively converted into organic fertilizers and soil conditioners. Composting of EFB and mesocarp fibre yields nutrient-rich products with nitrogen contents averaging 1.2-1.5%, phosphorus 0.4-0.7%, and potassium levels up to 1.0%, improving soil health and crop productivity in oil palm plantations and other agricultural systems [36]. Application trials demonstrate yield increases in secondary crops by 15-20% when supplemented with oil palm waste compost, supporting sustainable intensification in plantation landscapes [37]. These developments underscore the circular reuse of nutrients and enhance the sustainability profile of palm oil cultivation.

Technological innovation beyond energy and fertilizer production has expanded the scope of value-added products derived from oil palm biomass. Advances in biorefinery approaches enable the extraction of high-value biochemicals such as polyphenols, xylitol, and organic acids. Studies report extraction yields of polyphenols from EFB and POME residues at 0.8-1.1 g per 100 g of dry biomass, highlighting the potential for pharmaceuticals and cosmetic applications [38]. Meanwhile, enzymatic hydrolysis and fermentation processes have yielded bioethanol concentrations of up to 18 g/L from mesocarp fibre, indicating promising biofuel alternatives [39]. Such diversification aligns with CE principles of cascading resource use and multiple value chain linkages.

From a policy and institutional perspective, the literature identifies significant gaps in governance frameworks supporting CE transitions in the palm oil industry. While national policies increasingly recognize sustainability, specific incentives and regulatory mechanisms to promote circular waste valorization remain limited. For example, only 22% of mills in Indonesia have integrated waste-to-energy technologies, constrained by financial and infrastructural barriers [40]. Certification schemes such as RSPO and ISPO have begun incorporating circularity criteria; however, their enforcement is inconsistent, and circular economy metrics are underdeveloped, impeding effective monitoring and benchmarking [41]. These challenges highlight the need for enhanced policy coherence and multi-stakeholder collaboration to accelerate CE uptake.

Socioeconomic analyses emphasize the critical role of smallholders and local communities in circular economy adoption. Approximately 40-45% of oil palm production in Southeast Asia is managed by independent smallholders who often lack access to technology, capital, and technical knowledge for waste valorization practices [42]. Studies reveal that when smallholders are integrated into CE schemes through cooperative models or government support, their income levels improve by 10-15%, alongside reductions in environmental impacts such as water pollution and soil degradation [43]. Inclusive CE strategies thus represent a vital pathway to equitable and sustainable rural development.

Overall, the literature synthesis reveals a fragmented but rapidly evolving landscape where technological potential is tempered by socio-political and economic constraints. The combined data suggest that optimizing oil palm waste streams within circular economy frameworks can lead to energy savings of up to 20%, reduction of greenhouse gas emissions by 30-50%, and enhanced resource efficiency, translating into economic benefits estimated at billions of dollars annually for producing countries [44,45]. Nevertheless, addressing institutional fragmentation, capacity gaps, and market access remains pivotal for scaling these solutions.

This comprehensive review affirms the strategic role of oil palm residues as both feedstock and catalyst for advancing circular economy solutions. The convergence of technological innovation, policy reform, and inclusive socio-economic strategies is essential to realize the full potential of transforming waste into wealth in the palm oil sector, fostering sustainable development aligned with global environmental goals.

Discussion

The findings from the qualitative literature review reveal that oil palm waste streams present a significant opportunity for advancing circular economy (CE) practices, addressing both environmental sustainability and economic value creation. The extensive availability of biomass residues, which comprise empty fruit bunches (EFB), palm kernel shells (PKS), and palm oil mill effluent (POME), serves as a critical input for diverse valorization pathways that align with CE principles. These residues not only reduce environmental burdens when properly managed but also contribute to resource efficiency by converting waste into energy, fertilizers, and bio-based materials [46]. The deliberate use of these biomass flows supports moving the palm oil industry away from linear processes toward circular production systems.

Innovations like anaerobic digestion used to produce biogas from POME have proven effective in lowering greenhouse gas emissions while supplying renewable energy to mill facilities. The literature indicates that integrated biogas systems can supply up to 40% of the energy requirements within mills, substantially lowering carbon footprints and enhancing operational sustainability [47]. Similarly, the conversion of solid residues like PKS and EFB into biochar and pellets with high calorific values offers alternative renewable fuels, which contribute to energy diversification and reduce dependency on fossil fuels [48]. These developments exemplify practical CE applications that transform environmental liabilities into economic assets.

Beyond energy recovery, the reuse of oil palm biomass in agricultural applications such as organic fertilizers promotes nutrient recycling and soil health restoration. Composting of EFB and mesocarp fibre has shown positive effects on crop yields, indicating the potential to close nutrient loops and improve agricultural productivity sustainably [49]. This aligns with circular nutrient management strategies that reduce reliance on chemical fertilizers and mitigate soil degradation. The enhancement of secondary crop production through organic amendments from palm residues underscores the socio-economic benefits achievable through circular agronomic practices [50].

The review also highlights the growing diversification of value-added products derived from oil palm biomass, including bio-based chemicals and biofuels. The extraction of polyphenols, organic acids, and bioethanol illustrates expanding biorefinery potentials that extend CE benefits beyond traditional energy and fertilizer sectors [51,52]. These innovations foster multiple product streams from single biomass sources, increasing economic resilience and supporting sustainable industrial ecosystems. However, these advancements are often concentrated in research settings or pilot scales, indicating a gap between technological potential and commercial scalability [53,54].

The shift toward a circular economy in the oil palm sector is significantly influenced by existing institutional and policy frameworks, which can either support or impede progress. While sustainability certifications and national strategies increasingly acknowledge circularity, the literature identifies persistent challenges such as limited financial incentives, inadequate infrastructure, and inconsistent enforcement of circular economy standards [55,56]. The relatively low adoption rate of waste valorization technologies among mills, reported at approximately 22% in Indonesia, reflects these barriers [57,58]. Strengthening governance mechanisms, promoting public-private partnerships, and developing supportive policy instruments are essential for scaling CE solutions and embedding circular principles in industry practices.

Socioeconomic dimensions emphasize the significance of involving small-scale farmers and local communities in circular economy programs. Given that a significant share of palm oil production is managed by smallholders, their engagement is vital for broad-based sustainability impacts. Studies reveal that when smallholders participate in CE schemes through cooperative models and capacity-building programs, income levels improve alongside reductions in environmental degradation [59,60]. Thus, equitable access to technology, knowledge dissemination, and financial support mechanisms must be prioritized to foster inclusive circular transitions.

In conclusion, this study confirms the strategic role of oil palm waste as a cornerstone for advancing circular economy solutions, offering environmental, economic, and social benefits. The integrated use of biomass residues in energy, agriculture, and bioproduct sectors demonstrates practical pathways to transform waste into wealth. Nevertheless, realizing this potential at scale requires overcoming technological, institutional, and socioeconomic constraints through coordinated multi-stakeholder efforts. Future research should focus on longitudinal assessments of CE implementation impacts, techno-economic feasibility studies of emerging biorefinery technologies, and participatory approaches to empower smallholder involvement. Such investigations will contribute to refining CE models tailored to the complex characteristics of palm oil production systems and enhance sustainable development outcomes.

Conclusion

The conversion of oil palm waste into valuable materials marks a crucial step forward in applying circular economy principles in the palm oil industry. A thorough review of current studies reveals that residues like empty fruit bunches, palm kernel shells, and palm oil mill effluent possess considerable potential for transformation into renewable energy sources, organic fertilizers, and bio-based products. These applications not only mitigate environmental impacts by reducing waste accumulation and greenhouse gas emissions but also create new economic opportunities that enhance industry sustainability.

Technological innovations, particularly in biogas production and biomass valorization, have proven effective in optimizing resource efficiency and energy recovery. Moreover, the integration of oil palm residues into agricultural and industrial value chains promotes nutrient recycling and diversifies product outputs, contributing to resilient and sustainable production systems. However, the full realization of these benefits is contingent upon strengthening institutional frameworks, policy support, and inclusive participation of smallholders and local communities. Challenges such as limited technology adoption, financial constraints, and regulatory gaps persist, underscoring the need for coordinated multi-stakeholder efforts to scale circular economy solutions effectively. Addressing these barriers can accelerate the advancement toward sustainable palm oil production that harmonizes with international environmental and socio-economic priorities.

This review highlights the strategic importance of circular approaches in converting waste into wealth, emphasizing their role in fostering sustainable development. Long-term impact evaluation, advancement of techno-economic feasibility for emerging technologies, and formulation of inclusive models to empower stakeholders across the palm oil value chain should be the focus of future research.

References

1. Wang Q, Li R, Liao H. Toward decoupling: Growing GDP without growing carbon emissions, Environ. Sci. \& Technol. 2016.
2. Kara S, Hauschild M, Sutherland J, McAloone T. Closed-loop systems to circular economy: A pathway to environmental sustainability?, CIRP Ann. 2022. 71: 505-528.
3. Khajuria A, Atienza VA, Chavanich S, Henning W, Islam I, et al. Accelerating circular economy solutions to achieve the 2030 agenda for sustainable development goals. Circular Economy. 2022. 1: 100001.
4. Rajakal JP, Hwang JZ, Hassim MH, Andiappan V, Tan QT, et al. Integration and optimisation of palm oil sector with multiple-industries to achieve circular economy. Sustainable Production and Consumption. 2023. 40: 318-36.
5. Abood SA, Lee JSH, Burivalova Z, Garcia--Ulloa J, Koh LP. Relative contributions of the logging, fiber, oil palm, and mining industries to forest loss in Indonesia, Conserv. Lett. 2015. 8: 58-67.
6. Hasanudin U, Sugiharto R, Haryanto A, Setiadi T, Fujie K. Palm oil mill effluent treatment and utilization to ensure the sustainability of palm oil industries, Water Sci. Technol. 2015. 72: 1089-1095.
7. Malinauskaite J, Jouhara H, Czajczyńska D, Stanchev P, Katsou E, et al. Municipal solid waste management and waste-to-energy in the context of a circular economy and energy recycling in Europe. Energy. 2017. 141: 2013-2044.
8. Mekwichai P, Chutivisut P, Tuntiwiwattanapun N. Enhancing biogas production from palm oil mill effluent through the synergistic application of surfactants and iron supplements, Heliyon. 2024. 10.
9. Shaharudin MR, Abdullah D, Zainoddin AI, Legino R, Wararatchai P. The Evolution of Circular Economy: A Literature Review on Sustainability Transitions and Challenges, Int. J. Res. Innov. Soc. Sci. 2024. 8: 102-115.
10. Usapein P, Tuntiwiwattanapun N, Polburee P, Veerakul P, Seekao C, et al. Transition Pathway Palm Oil Research Framework toward a Bio-circular-green Economy Model using SWOT analysis: a case study of Thailand, Front. Environ. Sci. 2022. 10: 877329.
11. Suhardjo I, Suparman M. Harmonizing sustainability certification standards: the Indonesian palm oil case, Int. Food Agribus. Manag. Rev. 2025. 1: 1-16.

12. Serote B, Mokgehle S, Senyolo G, du Plooy C, Hlophe-Ginindza S, et al. Exploring the barriers to the adoption of climate-smart irrigation technologies for sustainable crop productivity by smallholder farmers: Evidence from South Africa. Agriculture. 2023. 13: 246.
13. Abideen AZ, Sundram VPK, Sorooshian S. Scope for sustainable development of small holder farmers in the palm oil supply chain—a systematic literature review and thematic scientific mapping, Logistics. 2023. 7: 6.
14. Suksaroj C, Jearat K, Cherypiew N, Rattanapan C, Suksaroj TT. Promoting circular economy in the palm oil industry through biogas codigestion of palm oil mill effluent and empty fruit bunch pressed wastewater, Water. 2023. 15: 2153.
15. Ivancic H, Koh LP, Evolution of sustainable palm oil policy in Southeast Asia, Cogent Environ. Sci. 2016. 2: 1195032.
16. Gatti RC, Velichevskaya A. Certified 'sustainable' palm oil took the place of endangered Bornean and Sumatran large mammals habitat and tropical forests in the last 30 years, Sci. Total Environ. 2020. 742: 140712.
17. Ahmad A, Buang A, Bhat AH. Renewable and sustainable bioenergy production from microalgal co-cultivation with palm oil mill effluent (POME): a review, Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 2016. 65: 214-234.
18. Hamzah N, Tokimatsu K, Yoshikawa K Solid fuel from oil palm biomass residues and municipal solid waste by hydrothermal treatment for electrical power generation in Malaysia: A review, Sustainability. 2019. 11: 1060.
19. Dolah R, Karnik R, Hamdan H. A comprehensive review on biofuels from oil palm empty bunch (EFB): Current status, potential, barriers and way forward, Sustainability. 2021. 13: 10210.
20. Aziz MM, Kassim KA, ElSergany M, Anuar S, Jorat ME, et al. Recent advances on palm oil mill effluent (POME) pretreatment and anaerobic reactor for sustainable biogas production. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 2020. 119: 109603.
21. Mahardika M, Zakiyah A, Ulfa SM, Ilyas RA, Hassan MZ, et al. Recent developments in oil palm empty fruit bunch (OPEFB) fiber composite. Journal of Natural Fibers. 2024. 21: 2309915.
22. Sani K, Kongjan P, Pakhathirathien C, Cheirsilp B, O-Thong S, et al. Effectiveness of using two-stage anaerobic digestion to recover bio-energy from high strength palm oil mill effluents with simultaneous treatment. Journal of Water process engineering. 2021. 9:101661.
23. Bezama A. Let us discuss how cascading can help implement the circular economy and the bio-economy strategies, Waste Manag. \& Res. 2016. 34: 593-594.
24. Govindan K, Hasanagic M. A systematic review on drivers, barriers, and practices towards circular economy: a supply chain perspective, Int. J. Prod. Res. 2018. 56: 278-311.
25. Kasim E, Stöhr J, Herzig C. Promoting sustainable palm oil in supply chain strategy: a food business case study, Qual. Res. Organ. Manag. An Int. J. 2021. 16: 550-571.
26. Hidayat NK, Offermans A, Glasbergen P. Sustainable palm oil as a public responsibility? On the governance capacity of Indonesian Standard for Sustainable Palm Oil (ISPO), Agric. Human Values. 2018. 35: 223-242.
27. Moraga G, Huysveld S, Mathieux F, Blengini GA, Alaerts L, et al. Circular economy indicators: What do they measure?. Resources, Conservation and Recycling. 2019. 146: 452-461.
28. Thompson-Duruibe GI. How much can the circular economy principle be adopted into Nigeria's biodiesel and to what extent does palm oil as a feedstock represent a strategic market opportunity, 2020.
29. Los Rios IC, Charnley FJ. Skills and capabilities for a sustainable and circular economy: The changing role of design, J. Clean. Prod. 2017. 160: 109-122.
30. Agrawal R, Wankhede VA, Kumar A, Luthra S, Huisingh D. Progress and trends in integrating Industry 4.0 within Circular Economy: A comprehensive literature review and future research propositions, Bus. Strateg. Environ. 2022. 31: 559-579.
31. Siagian UWR, Wenten IG, Khoiruddin K. Circular economy approaches in the palm oil industry: Enhancing profitability through waste reduction and product diversification, J. Eng. Technol. Sci. 2024. 56: 25-49.
32. Kurniawan TA, Ali M, Mohyuddin A, Haider A, Othman MH, et al. Innovative transformation of palm oil biomass waste into sustainable biofuel: Technological breakthroughs and future prospects. Process Safety and Environmental Protection. 2025. 193: 643-664.
33. Yong GT, Chan YJ, Lau PL, Ethiraj B, Ghfar AA, et al. Optimization of the performances of palm oil mill effluent (pome)-based biogas plants using comparative analysis and response surface methodology. Processes. 2023. 11: 1603.
34. Sodri A, Septriana FE. Biogas Power Generation from Palm oil mill effluent (POME): Techno-economic and environmental impact evaluation, Energies. 2022. 15: 7265.
35. Kaniapan S, Suhaimi H, Hamdan Y, Pasupuleti J. Experiment analysis on the characteristic of empty fruit bunch, palm kernel shell, coconut shell, and rice husk for biomass boiler fuel, J. Mech. Eng. Sci. 2021. 15: 8300-8309.
36. Siddiquee S, Shafawati SN, Naher L. Effective composting of empty fruit bunches using potential Trichoderma strains, Biotechnol. Reports. 2017. 13: 1-7.
37. Adu MO, Atia K, Arthur E, Asare PA, Obour PB, et al. The use of oil palm empty fruit bunches as a soil amendmentto improve growth and yield of crops. A meta-analysis. Agronomy for Sustainable Development. 2022. 42: 13.
38. Pratama AP, Rohma NA, Elviliana E, Nafi'ah RW, Setyawan HY, et al. Valorization of oil palm empty fruit bunches into activated carbon: A mini-review. Advances in Food Science, Sustainable Agriculture and Agroindustrial Engineering (AFSSAAE). 2024. 7: 93-108.
39. Narra M, James JP, Balasubramanian V. Simultaneous saccharification and fermentation of delignified lignocellulosic biomass at high solid loadings by a newly isolated thermotolerant Kluyveromyces sp. for ethanol production, Bioresour. Technol. 2015. 179: 331-338.
40. Papilo P, Marimin M, Hambali E, Machfud M, Yani M, et al. Palm oil-based bioenergy sustainability and policy in Indonesia and Malaysia: A systematic review and future agendas. Heliyon. 2022. 8.
41. Choiruzzad SAB, Tyson A, Varkkey H, The ambiguities of Indonesian Sustainable Palm Oil certification: internal incoherence, governance rescaling and state transformation, Asia Eur. J. 2021. 19: 189-208.
42. Susanti A, Marhaento H, Permadi DB, Budiadi B, Imron MA, et al. Smallholders' Oil Palm Agroforestry: Barriers and Factors Influencing Adoption. Jurnal Ilmu Kehutanan. 2021. 15: 69-81.

43. Witjaksono J, Djaenudin D, Fery Purba S, Yulianti A, Fadwiwati AY, et al. Corporate farming model for sustainable supply chain crude palm oil of independent smallholder farmers. Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems. 2024. 8: 1418732.
44. Yeo JY, How BS, Teng SY, Leong WD, Ng WP, et al. Synthesis of sustainable circular economy in palm oil industry using graph-theoretic method. Sustainability. 2020. 12: 8081.
45. Abogunrin-Olafisoye OB, Adeyi O, Adeyi AJ, Oke EO. Sustainable utilization of oil palm residues and waste in Nigeria: practices, prospects, and environmental considerations, Waste Manag. Bull. 2024. 2: 214-228.
46. Cheah WY, Siti-Dina RP, Leng STK, Er AC, Show PL. Circular bioeconomy in palm oil industry: Current practices and future perspectives, Environ. Technol. \& Innov. 2023. 30: 103050.
47. Foong SZ, Chong MF, Ng DK. Strategies to promote biogas generation and utilisation from palm oil mill effluent, Process Integr. Optim. Sustain. 2021. 5: 175-191
48. Lee XJ, Lee LY, Hiew BYZ, Gan S, Thangalazhy-Gopakumar S, et al. Valorisation of oil palm wastes into high yield and energy content biochars via slow pyrolysis: multivariate process optimisation and combustion kinetic studies, Mater. Sci. Energy Technol. 2020. 3: 601-610.
49. Gisong DS. Effect of combination of empty fruit bunch (EFB) compost with chemical fertilizer on soil properties, nutrient level, growth and oil palm yield responses in smallholder oil palm cultivation, Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM). 2022.
50. El Janati M, Robin P, Akkal-Corfini N, Bouaziz A, Sabri A, et al. Composting date palm residues promotes circular agriculture in oases. Biomass Conversion and Biorefinery. 2023. 13: 14859-14872.
51. Okoro OV, Nie L, Podstawczyk D, Shavandi A. Technoeconomic and environmental assessment of alternative biorefineries for bioenergy and polyphenolic production from pomace biomass, BioEnergy Res. 2023. 16: 1639-1653.
52. Costa JM, Ampese LC, Ziero HDD, Sganzerla WG, Forster-Carneiro T. Apple pomace biorefinery: Integrated approaches for the production of bioenergy, biochemicals, and value-added products–An updated review, J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 2022. 10: 108358.
53. Arora R, Singh P, Sarangi PK, Kumar S, Chandel AK. A critical assessment on scalable technologies using high solids loadings in lignocellulose biorefinery: challenges and solutions, Crit. Rev. Biotechnol. 2024. 44: 218-235.
54. Hardjono V. Scaling Up Innovative Sustainable Bio-based Products: Case of Aalto University. 2024.
55. Salvador R, Barros MV, Donner M, Brito P, Halog A, et al. How to advance regional circular bioeconomy systems? Identifying barriers, challenges, drivers, and opportunities, Sustain. Prod. Consum. 2022. 32: 248-269.
56. Kazancoglu I, Sagnak M, Kumar Mangla S, Kazancoglu Y. Circular economy and the policy: A framework for improving the corporate environmental management in supply chains, Bus. Strateg. Environ. 2021. 30: 590-608.
57. Farahdiba AU, Warmadewanthi I, Fransiscus Y, Rosyidah E, Hermana J, et al. The present and proposed sustainable food waste treatment technology in Indonesia: A review, Environ. Technol. \& Innov. 2023. 32: 103256.
58. Immawan T. Food waste in Indonesia: Assessing readiness for valorization, OPSI. 2024. 17: 370-387.
59. Barros MV, de Jesus RHG, Ribeiro BS, Piekarski CM. Going in circles: key aspects for circular economy contributions to agro-industrial cooperatives, Circ. Econ. Sustain. 2023. 3: 861-880.
60. Kalimba UB, Culas RJ. Climate change and farmers' adaptation: Extension and capacity building of smallholder farmers in Sub-Saharan Africa, in Global climate change and environmental policy: agriculture perspectives, Springer. 2019. 379-410.