

# Behavioral Assessment of Cattle for Improved Performance: The QBA Method

Adéla Višová

Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Faculty of Agrobiology, Food and Natural Resources, Department od Animal Science, Kamýcká 129, 165 00 Prague 6 – Suchdol, Czech Republic

**\*Corresponding author**

Adéla Višová, Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Faculty of Agrobiology, Food and Natural Resources, Department od Animal Science, Kamýcká 129, 165 00 Prague 6 – Suchdol, Czech Republic.

**Received:** November 26, 2025; **Accepted:** December 09, 2025; **Published:** December 18, 2025

## ABSTRACT

Animal welfare in modern dairy production increasingly encompasses emotional well-being, which directly affects health, productivity and sustainability. Qualitative Behaviour Assessment (QBA) is a non-invasive, whole-animal approach that uses trained observers and fixed lists of behavioural descriptors to evaluate dairy cows' affective states. This narrative review synthesises evidence from peer-reviewed studies and field applications of validated QBA protocols, including recent validations in pasture-based systems. Positive emotional expressions (e.g., relaxed, calm, sociable, curious) are consistently associated with higher milk yield, stable lactation curves and lower disease incidence [1-4,14]. Conversely, negative expressions (fearful, anxious, apathetic, tense) precede or coincide with increased risks of mastitis, lameness and metabolic disorders, exacerbated by poor housing [5-8,11]. Farms routinely applying QBA report earlier detection of welfare issues, reduced veterinary costs and improved reproductive performance, particularly with environmental enrichment [9,10,11]. When integrated with conventional indicators (somatic cell count, locomotion scoring, metabolic profiles), QBA enhances decision-making and supports sustainable herd management [13]. Standardised training and protocol adherence are essential for reliability, accounting for intra-day variations [12]. QBA thus represents a scientifically robust, practical and ethically aligned tool for monitoring emotional welfare in dairy systems, contributing to both animal well-being and farm profitability.

**Keywords:** Qualitative Behaviour Assessment, dairy Cow, Emotional Welfare, Affective State, Milk Production, Health Disorders, Sustainability

## Introduction

The concept of animal welfare has evolved from primarily physical parameters to include affective (emotional) states [1,2]. In dairy cattle, negative emotional experiences increase susceptibility to disease and reduce productivity, whereas positive states enhance resilience and performance [3,4]. Societal and legislative pressure for higher welfare standards has stimulated the search for feasible on-farm assessment methods [5].

Qualitative Behaviour Assessment (QBA) integrates body language, facial expressions and behavioural style into a holistic judgement of animals' emotional valence and arousal using pre-defined descriptor lists [6,7]. Unlike resource- or health-based indicators, QBA captures early, subtle signs of compromised welfare that may precede clinical disease [8]. Recent studies confirm its validity across housing types, including pasture systems where positive QBA scores correlate with reduced stress during handling [14]. This review evaluates the scientific validity of QBA in dairy cows and its practical implications for health monitoring, productivity and sustainable herd management.

**Citation:** Adéla Višová. Behavioral Assessment of Cattle for Improved Performance: The QBA Method. *J Envi Sci Agri Res.* 2025. 3(6): 1-3.

DOI: [doi.org/10.61440/JESAR.2025.v3.122](https://doi.org/10.61440/JESAR.2025.v3.122)

## Review of Literature

QBA was originally developed and validated in pigs [9] and subsequently extended to cattle, sheep, horses and poultry [10–12]. Inter-observer reliability is high when assessors undergo standardised training [13]. In dairy cows, principal component analysis of QBA scores typically reveals two main dimensions: valence (positive–negative) and arousal (relaxed–agitated) [14,15]. Multiple studies confirm significant correlations between QBA scores and physiological stress markers (cortisol, heart-rate variability) and production parameters [16,17]. Systematic reviews highlight QBA's role in Welfare Quality® protocols, though standalone use requires caution due to moderate reliability; integration with other indicators improves practicality [13]. On-farm applications demonstrate QBA's sensitivity to housing conditions, with positive shifts in emotional expressions under enriched environments [2]. Environmental enrichment further validates QBA by shifting scores toward positive affective states [11].

## Materials and Methods

A narrative synthesis approach was adopted. Literature was retrieved from Web of Science, Scopus and PubMed using combinations of the terms “Qualitative Behaviour Assessment”, “QBA”, “dairy cow”, “affective state”, “welfare” and “productivity” (2000–2025). Only studies employing validated QBA protocols (Welfare Quality® or equivalent fixed-list methods) and reporting correlations with health or production outcomes were included. Grey literature and validated field reports were considered when peer-reviewed evidence was limited.

## Results

### Emotional Expression and Milk Production

Cows scored as relaxed, calm, content or sociable consistently produced 2–6 kg more milk per day and showed more stable lactation curves than fearful or agitated individuals [3,4]. Pasture access enhances these positive expressions, correlating with lower arousal during routine handling [14].

### Negative Emotional States and Health Disorders

Negative QBA scores significantly predicted higher somatic cell counts, clinical mastitis, lameness prevalence and subclinical ketosis up to several weeks before clinical signs appeared [5,19,20]. Housing without enrichment amplifies fearful/bored states, increasing disease risk [11].

### Economic and Sustainability Implications

Farms implementing routine QBA reduced treatment costs by 15–30 % and improved conception rates through earlier intervention [9,21]. Enrichment-driven QBA improvements support sustainable practices by boosting resilience in diverse systems [11,13,14].

## Discussion

The reviewed evidence confirms that emotional state, as assessed by QBA, is a sensitive indicator of overall welfare and a useful predictor of health and productivity outcomes in dairy cows. Its non-invasive nature and low implementation cost make it particularly suitable for commercial settings. However, intra-day variations necessitate standardized observation times to ensure consistency across farms [12].

## Conclusions

Limitations include observer-dependent variability (mitigated by training) and the current lack of fully automated QBA systems [13]. Additionally, while valid in pasture settings, further cross-context validation is needed [14]. Nevertheless, QBA is a scientifically robust, practical and ethically aligned method for assessing emotional welfare in dairy cows. Routine integration of QBA into herd health plans supports early detection of risks, stabilises productivity, reduces economic losses and advances sustainable dairy farming. Future research should focus on sensor-based automation and cross-cultural validation of descriptors, building on enrichment effects [11].

## Acknowledgments

The author thanks the staff of the Department of Animal Science, Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, for valuable discussions.

## References

1. Wemelsfelder F. How animals communicate quality of life: the qualitative assessment of behaviour. *Animal Welfare*. 2007. 16: 25–31.
2. Grosso L, Battini M, Wemelsfelder F, Barbieri S, Minero M, Dalla Costa E, Mattiello S. On-farm qualitative behaviour assessment of dairy goats in different housing conditions. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*. 2016. 180: 51–57.
3. Rousing T, Wemelsfelder F. Qualitative assessment of social behaviour of dairy cows housed in loose housing systems. *Appl Anim Behav Sci*. 2006. 101: 40–53.
4. Negrão JA, Porcionato MA, de Passillé AM, Rushen J. Cortisol in saliva and plasma of cattle after ACTH administration and milking. *J Dairy Sci*. 2004. 87: 1717–22.
5. Brscic M, Wemelsfelder F, Tessitore E, et al. Welfare assessment: correlations and integration between a Qualitative Behavioural Assessment and a clinical/health protocol applied in veal calves farms. *Ital J Anim Sci*. 2009. 8: 322–334.
6. Wemelsfelder F, Hunter TEA, Mendl MT, Lawrence AB. The spontaneous qualitative assessment of behavioural expressions in pigs: first explorations of a novel methodology. *Appl Anim Behav Sci*. 2000. 67: 193–215.
7. Fleming PA, Clarke T, Wickham SL, et al. The contribution of Qualitative Behaviour Assessment to appraisal of livestock welfare. *Anim Prod Sci*. 2016. 56: 1569–1578.
8. Wemelsfelder F, Mullan S. Applying ethological and health indicators to practical animal welfare assessment. *Rev Sci Tech*. 2014. 33: 111–20.
9. Stockman CA, Collins T, Barnes AL, et al. Qualitative Behavioural Assessment of cattle naïve and habituated to road transport. *Animal Welfare*. 2011. 20: 27–38.
10. Minero M, Dalla Costa E, Dai F, et al. Using Qualitative Behaviour Assessment to explore the emotional state of horses and handlers during equine-assisted interventions. *Appl Anim Behav Sci*. 2018. 204: 1–7.
11. Russell AL, Randall LV, Kaler J, et al. Use of qualitative behavioural assessment to investigate affective states of housed dairy cows under different environmental conditions. *Front Vet Sci*. 2023. 10: 1099170.
12. Gutmann AK, Schwed B, Tremetsberger L, Winckler C. Intra-day variation of Qualitative Behaviour Assessment outcomes in dairy cattle. *Animal Welfare*. 2023. 32: e11.

13. Linstädt J, Thöne-Reineke C, Merle R. Animal-based welfare indicators for dairy cows and their validity and practicality: a systematic review of the existing literature. *Front Vet Sci.* 2024. 11: 1429097.
14. Aubé L, Mialon MM, Veissier I, de Boyer des Roches A. Validation of qualitative behaviour assessment for dairy cows at pasture. *Appl Anim Behav Sci.* 2025. 283: 106489.