

Assessment of Insecticide-Treated Nets Coverage and Utilization for Malaria Control Among High-Risk Groups in Mettu Woreda , South West Ethiopia

Dagim Dagne

Department of Public Health, College of Health Sciences, Mettu University, Mettu, Ethiopia

Corresponding author

Dagim Dagne, Department of Public Health, College of Health Sciences, Mettu University, Mettu, Ethiopia.

Received: May 13, 2026; Accepted: June 02, 2026; Published: June 15, 2026

ABSTRACT

Background: Malaria remains a major public health challenge in Ethiopia, particularly in areas of unstable transmission such as Mettu woreda. Insecticide-treated nets (ITNs) are among the most effective and affordable malaria prevention tools. However, gaps between ITN ownership and proper utilization persist. This study assessed the coverage and utilization of ITNs among high-risk groups, specifically children under five years of age and pregnant women, in Mettu woreda, south west, Ethiopia.

Methods: A community-based cross-sectional study was conducted in 2014 among 384 systematically selected households. Data were collected through structured interviews and direct observation using a pre-tested questionnaire. Descriptive statistics summarized ITN ownership and utilization. Logistic regression was applied to identify factors associated with ITN use. Statistical significance was declared at $p < 0.05$.

Results: Among 828 households surveyed, 310 (80.7%) owned at least one ITN, but only 57.2% reported regular use by all household members. ITN utilization among children under five and pregnant women was 72.9% and 57.3%, respectively. The main barriers to consistent use were discomfort from heat (32.8%), perceived absence of mosquitoes (26.5%), and difficulties in hanging nets properly. Multivariate analysis showed that respondents with secondary education or higher were 2.8 times more likely to use ITNs correctly (AOR = 2.8, 95% CI: 1.6–4.9), and those knowledgeable about malaria transmission were 3.4 times more likely to ensure consistent use (AOR = 3.4, 95% CI: 2.1–5.7).

Conclusions: Although ITN ownership in Mettu woreda is relatively high, utilization among high-risk groups remains below national and global targets. Strengthened behavioral change communication, community sensitization, and continuous monitoring of ITN use are essential to close the gap between ownership and utilization and to sustain malaria control efforts in endemic areas.

Abbreviations

ACT	: Artemisinin-based Combination therapy	IEC	: Information, Education and Communication
a.m	: Ante meridiem	IRS	: Indoor Residual Spraying
CI	: Confidence Interval	ITN	: Insecticide Treated Net
CSA	: Central Statistics Authority	LLIN	: Long Lasting Insecticidal Net
DHS	: Demographic and Health Survey	MDG	: Millennium Development Goals
EPI	: Expanded Program of Immunization	MR	: Multiple Response
ETB	: Ethiopian Birr	MSF-H	: Medicine San Frontiers of Holland
FGD	: Focus Group Discussion	OR	: Odds Ratio
FMOH	: Federal Ministry of Health	p.m	: Post meridiem
GFATM	: Global fund to fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria	PW	: Pregnant women
HH	: Household	RBM	: Roll Back Malaria
		SD	: Standard deviation
		SP	: Sulphadoxine Pyrimethamine

Citation: Dagim Dagne. Assessment of Insecticide-Treated Nets Coverage and Utilization for Malaria Control Among High-Risk Groups in Mettu Woreda , South West Ethiopia. *J Infect Dis Treat*. 2026. 4(2): 1-9. DOI: doi.org/10.61440/JIDT.2026.v4.74

SRS	: Systematic Random Sampling
U5	: Children under five years of age
UN	: United Nations
UNICEF	: United Nations Children's Fund
USAID	: United States Agency for International Development
USD	: United States Dollar
VAT	: Value Added Tax
WHO	: World Health Organization
WHO-AFRO	: World Health Organization Regional Office for Africa

Keywords: Insecticide-Treated Nets, Malaria Prevention, Utilization, Ownership, High-Risk Groups, Ethiopia.

Introduction

Malaria remains one of the most significant parasitic infections in the world, responsible for more than one million deaths annually, with a child dying every 30 seconds from the disease. Over 90% of the global malaria burden occurs in sub-Saharan Africa, where approximately 0.5 billion clinical cases and 2–3 million severe cases are reported each year [1,2]. In endemic regions, malaria infection during pregnancy contributes to nearly a quarter of severe maternal anemia and 10–20% of low-birth-weight cases [1,3]. Pregnant women in areas of unstable transmission have little acquired immunity, making them highly susceptible to severe complications, including miscarriage, stillbirth, and maternal death [4,5].

In Ethiopia, malaria transmission is unstable and seasonal, affecting an estimated 51 million people—about 68% of the population—living in areas below 2,000 meters above sea level [2,6,7]. *Plasmodium falciparum* and *Plasmodium vivax* are the predominant species, transmitted mainly by *Anopheles arabiensis*, which breeds in small sunlit pools formed during the rainy season [8,9,10]. Annually, 4–5 million malaria cases are reported, and the disease remains a leading cause of outpatient visits, hospital admissions, and deaths [2,4]. Epidemics frequently occur following heavy rains or ecological changes linked to agricultural expansion and population movement [7,11,12].

Insecticide-treated nets (ITNs) have been proven to reduce malaria transmission and child mortality by 14–33% in endemic regions [13]. Long-lasting insecticidal nets (LLINs) offer a sustainable solution as they remain effective for up to five years without retreatment [14]. Despite large-scale ITN distribution by initiatives such as Roll Back Malaria, UNICEF, and WHO, ownership and consistent use remain low. National surveys have shown that fewer than 10% of children under five and pregnant women in endemic areas regularly sleep under ITNs [15,16]. Barriers to use include heat discomfort, limited awareness, perceived absence of mosquitoes, and cost or unavailability of nets [16].

The Mettu woreda, located in Oromia regional state south west, Ethiopia, experiences intense malaria transmission between September and December but for the last three years, the woreda has experienced a dry season throughout the whole year, from

September to August, unlike previous records. The district hosts large populations of migrant and seasonal agricultural workers who are highly vulnerable to malaria infection. Understanding the extent of ITN ownership, utilization, and associated factors in such high-risk settings is essential to evaluate current malaria control efforts and to inform strategies aimed at achieving national malaria elimination goals.

Therefore, this study assessed the coverage and utilization of ITNs among high-risk groups in Mettu woreda, with emphasis on identifying determinants of effective use to support improved public health interventions and sustainable malaria prevention.

Materials and Methods

Study Area and Population

The study was conducted in Mettu Woreda, in the Ilu Ababor Zone, Oromia Regional State, south western Ethiopia. The district is located approximately 600 km southwest of Addis Ababa, the national capital. The administrative centre of the woreda is Mettu Town, which also serves as the zonal capital [17].

Mettu Woreda lies at an altitude ranging around 1,600–1,700 meters above sea level. [18,19] The climate is temperate to sub-tropical (sub-Dega to Woyna Dega), with a bimodal rainfall pattern: a long rainy season from around April to October, followed by a dry season. Average annual rainfall is approximately 1,850 mm, and average temperature ranges between 16°C and 22°C [17].

The woreda covers an area of about 68,723 hectares. There are 29 kebeles (smallest administrative units) in the woreda. The population is estimated at ~91,049 people, involving both male and female residents [17]. Agriculture is the predominant livelihood: mixed farming combining crop cultivation and livestock rearing is practiced widely.

Major food crops include maize, sorghum, teff, barley, wheat, and beans. Cash crops, particularly coffee and root vegetables, are important in the local economy [17].

Water bodies in the district include tributaries of the Sor River, including the Konori, Sori, Duqur, and Kabar rivers. Soil types are varied, with dominant types being silt, clay, and nitosols.

Source Population

The source population comprised all households with pregnant women and/or children under five years of age who were permanent residents of Mettu woreda, regardless of whether they owned insecticide-treated nets (ITNs) or not.

Study Population

Quantitative Study

The study population for the quantitative component consisted of randomly selected households with pregnant women and/or children under five years of age residing in Mettu woreda during the study period.

Qualitative Study

For the qualitative component, heads of households with at least one child under five years of age and/or pregnant women

participated in focus group discussions (FGDs) to provide insights that complemented the quantitative findings.

Criteria

Inclusion

All households with at least one child under five years of age and/or pregnant women were included in the study.

Exclusion Criteria

Households of temporary residents or migrant laborers with children under five years of age and/or pregnant women were excluded, as they did not permanently reside in the study area.

Study Design

A comparative cross-sectional study design was employed for the quantitative component. Data were collected using interviewer-administered questionnaires to assess ITN coverage and observation checklists during early morning surveys to evaluate the condition and utilization of ITNs.

In addition, a qualitative study was conducted using focus group discussions with selected community members to explore perceptions, experiences, and factors influencing ITN ownership and utilization, thereby enriching and supporting the quantitative findings.

Sample Size Determination

The sample size (n) was determined based on the difference between two population proportions, using the following assumptions:

According to the NetMark study conducted in five sites in Ethiopia, 40% of urban households owned at least one mosquito net, while 30% of rural households were expected to own at least one [16]. The sample size was calculated using a 95% confidence level ($Z_{\alpha/2}$), 80% power, and an equal allocation ratio ($r = 1$) between urban and rural populations. A 10% contingency was added to account for potential non-response. Accordingly, the required sample size was calculated as follows:

$$n = \left[Z_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{(1+r)P(1-P)} + Z_{1-\beta} \sqrt{P_1(1-P_1) + P_2(1+P_2)} \right]^2$$

$$r = (p_1 - p_2)^2$$

Where:

$$Z_{1-\alpha/2} = 1.96$$

$$P = 0.35$$

$$Z_{1-\beta} = 0.84$$

$$P_1 = \text{Net ownership in urban areas } 40\%$$

$$P_2 = \text{Net ownership in rural areas assumed to be } 30\%$$

$$r = \text{urban to rural allocation which is } 1$$

$$\text{Non-response rate } 10\%$$

$$n_1 = 414$$

$$n_2 = 414$$

Sampling Procedure

First a census of households conducted by woreda Health Office for routine EPI vaccination and polio campaign purpose in each Kebele was obtained. Two urban and five rural kebeles were selected by lottery method. The list of households with at least one under five years of age and/or pregnant women regardless of their ITNs possession status was considered as the sampling frame from those selected kebeles. From the list, the required households were selected using systematic random sampling for

the respective Kebeles where households within these kebeles were distributed by population proportion to size.

Data Collection

Quantitative Study

A standardized and structured questionnaire was developed based on previously published studies and adapted to the local context with minor modifications (10). The questionnaire was initially prepared in English, translated into Afaan Oromo, and then back-translated into English to ensure consistency. Interviews were conducted in Afaan Oromo.

Field data were collected by ten trained health workers, including a Sanitarian, several nurses, and two supervisors. The principal investigator conducted a two-day training session for data collectors, which covered the study objectives and included a practical rehearsal of the early morning insecticide-treated nets (ITNs) observation survey.

The questionnaire captured information on socio-demographic characteristics, knowledge and attitudes about malaria, mosquito net possession, utilization, re-impregnation practices, and included an early morning observation checklist. A pre-test was conducted in Mettu city administration, one of the with similar characteristics. Necessary adjustments were made based on pre-test findings, and the final questionnaire was adopted accordingly.

Data collection involved two stages. First, households were visited early in the morning (between 5:00–6:00 AM) to observe actual ITN use. Later the same day, the same households were revisited to complete the remaining parts of the questionnaire.

Qualitative Study

A qualitative assessment was conducted to gain deeper insights into perceptions, beliefs, and behaviors related to malaria and ITN use. Topics explored included the etiology and perceived severity of malaria, prevention methods, general beliefs regarding ITNs, and factors influencing their possession, consistent use, or non-use, as well as net re-treatment practices.

Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) were organized into four groups—two male and two female groups—each comprising 8 to 10 participants to ensure inclusivity and active participation. The principal investigator facilitated the discussions, supported by two supervisors who served as note-takers and participant recruiters. This arrangement minimized dominance by a few participants and reduced side conversations.

FGDs continued until information saturation was achieved, meaning no new themes or ideas emerged from subsequent discussions.

Data Quality Assurance

Data quality was ensured through daily checks by immediate supervisors, who reviewed completed questionnaires for completeness and consistency before submission to the principal investigator. Any incomplete or incorrectly filled questionnaires were returned to data collectors for correction.

The principal investigator conducted secondary reviews and supervised 5% of the surveyed households to confirm that all

were visited twice and that morning visits occurred within the designated time.

Operational Definitions

- **Insecticide-Treated Net (ITN):** A mosquito net or screen that has been treated with an insecticide to protect individuals from mosquito bites while sleeping.
- **Untreated Net:** A mosquito net that has not yet been treated with insecticide and is intended for treatment prior to use.
- **Re-impregnation:** The process of re-treating mosquito nets with one of the pyrethroid insecticides, either at home or at the community level, to restore or maintain their protective effect.
- **Long-Lasting Insecticidal Net (LLIN):** A ready-to-use, factory-pretreated mosquito net (such as PermaNet™ or Olyset™) that retains its insecticidal properties for an expected lifespan of four to five years without the need for re-treatment.
- **Possession:** The proportion of households that owned at least one insecticide-treated net (ITN) at the time of the survey.
- **Use:** The proportion of individuals belonging to high-risk groups who reported sleeping under an ITN on the night preceding the survey.
- **District (Woreda):** An administrative unit with an approximate population of 97,000.
- **Sub-District or Kebele (Tabia):** The smallest administrative unit, usually comprising a cluster of three to five ketenas (or kushets), with a population ranging from 1,500 to 5,000.
- **Ketena (Kushet):** A village-level administrative area consisting of about 100 to 150 households, with an average household size of 5.2 and a population ranging from 500 to 1,000.
- **High-Risk Groups:** Population segments highly vulnerable to malaria, identified as children under five years of age and pregnant women.

Data Analysis

Quantitative data were entered, cleaned, and analyzed using EPI INFO version 6.02, and subsequently exported to SPSS version 11.0 for Windows for advanced statistical analysis. Ten percent of the data were re-entered to verify accuracy and consistency. Multiple logistic regression analyses were performed to identify associations and predictors of ITN use and related variables.

Qualitative data from FGDs were transcribed manually from audio recordings and analyzed through thematic content analysis by summarizing key ideas and recurring themes identified across discussions.

Ethical Declaration

Ethical clearance was obtained from the Department of Mettu University, faculty of public health and medical sciences, and official letter of co-operation was given and permissions were also secured from Mettu woreda Administration, and Mettu woreda Health Office. This research was conducted according to norm of Belmont Report ethics declaration.

Before each interview or observation, informed consent was obtained from respondents after explaining the purpose of the

study. Participants were assured of confidentiality; no names or identifiable information were recorded, and all responses were kept anonymous. Data were reported only in aggregate form to maintain privacy.

Interviews and observations were conducted in a respectful and private manner by trained health professionals to foster trust and encourage honest participation. Participation was voluntary, and respondents were informed of their right to decline participation at any point without consequence.

When incorrect ITN use was observed, participants were provided with brief health education on proper use and maintenance. Any individuals found to be clinically ill during the survey were advised to seek care at the nearest health facility.

Results

Descriptive Findings

General Information

The survey on the assessment of ITN coverage for malaria control in Mettu woreda was conducted from 9 to 30 November 2014, during the peak malaria transmission season. Data collection methods included an early morning observational survey, structured household questionnaires, and focus group discussions.

Following administrative restructuring, Mettu woreda comprised two urban and eighteen rural kebeles. Based on census information from the woreda Health Office, 384 households were included in the study — 414 urban and 414 rural. All targeted households participated, resulting in a 100% response rate. Early morning observations preceded household interviews to assess actual ITN usage.

Socio-Demographic Characteristics

Among respondents, females accounted for 63.8% in rural and 69.3% in urban areas (Table 1). Most households were male-headed (81.6% rural, 85.5% urban). The median age of household heads was 31 years in rural and 30 years in urban areas.

Illiteracy was higher in rural areas (56.1%) compared to urban (34.0%). The majority of respondents were married (81.6% overall). Farming was the dominant occupation in rural areas (74.6%), while urban respondents were more frequently merchants (24.6%) or government employees (18.6%).

The mean monthly income was ETB 427.77 ± 331.21 for rural and ETB 557.62 ± 515.03 for urban households. The average family size was 5.0 ± 1.97 persons, and the mean number of children under five was 1.3 ± 0.58 per household. The ratio of high-risk groups (children <5 years and pregnant women) to nets was 0.67:1 in rural and 0.62:1 in urban areas. Radio ownership was higher in urban (75.1%) than rural (52.4%) settings.

Table 1: Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Heads of Households, Mettu woreda south west Ethiopia 2024

Variable	Rural n (%)	Urban n (%)	Total n (%)
Sex of respondent			

Male	150 (36.2)	127 (30.7)	277 (33.5)
Female	264 (63.8)	287 (69.3)	551 (66.5)
Sex of household head			
Male	338 (81.6)	354 (85.5)	692 (83.6)
Female	76 (18.4)	60 (14.5)	136 (16.4)
Educational level			
Illiterate	232 (56.1)	141 (34.0)	373 (45.0)
Literate	182 (43.9)	273 (66.0)	455 (55.0)
Occupation			
Farmer	309 (74.6)	157 (37.9)	466 (56.3)
Merchant	52 (12.6)	102 (24.6)	154 (18.6)
Government employee	19 (4.6)	77 (18.6)	96 (11.6)
Others	34 (8.2)	78 (18.9)	112 (13.5)
Mean monthly income (ETB ± SD)	427.77 ± 331.21	557.62 ± 515.03	492.5 ± 437.6
Family size (Mean ± SD)	5.14 ± 1.9	4.87 ± 2.02	5.0 ± 1.97
Children under 5 (Mean ± SD)	1.35 ± 0.62	1.23 ± 0.54	1.3 ± 0.58
Possession of radio	217 (52.4)	311 (75.1)	528 (63.8)

Knowledge and Practice About Malaria and Mosquitoes

Almost all respondents had heard of malaria (99.0% rural, 95.3% urban), and about 94% recognized mosquitoes as its vector (Table 2). Fever was the most commonly cited symptom (91.8% rural, 87.4% urban). The majority of respondents reported using mosquito nets (82.1% rural, 93.5% urban) and participating in environmental sanitation activities.

Children under five years were recognized as the most affected group (86.7% rural, 76.6% urban). Most respondents (~89%) reported no malaria infection since sleeping under treated nets, and over 88% mentioned no disadvantages associated with ITN use.

Table 2: Knowledge and Practices Regarding Malaria and Mosquito Nets, Mettu woreda south west Ethiopia 2024

Variable	Rural n (%)	Urban n (%)	Total n (%)
Heard about malaria	410 (99.0)	407 (95.3)	817 (98.7)
Mosquito causes malaria	390 (94.2)	391 (94.4)	781 (94.3)
Identified fever as symptom	380 (91.8)	362 (87.4)	742 (89.6)
Use mosquito nets to prevent malaria	340 (82.1)	387 (93.5)	727 (90.6)
Heard malaria education messages	359 (86.7)	290 (70.1)	649 (78.4)
Children under five most affected	359 (86.7)	317 (76.6)	676 (81.6)
Pregnant women most affected	177 (42.8)	134 (32.4)	311 (37.6)

No disadvantage sleeping under net	359 (86.7)	377 (91.1)	736 (88.9)
------------------------------------	------------	------------	------------

Mosquito Net Possession

Overall, 90.3% of households owned at least one mosquito net (Table 3). Ownership was higher in urban (96.1%) than rural (84.5%) areas. Similarly, ITN ownership was 91.1% in urban and 80.0% in rural settings.

Most households (70–79%) owned at least two nets. Conventional nets accounted for 76.3% of total nets, while 23.7% were LLINs. About 69% of nets were acquired within the last three years. Nearly half of rural nets (49.8%) were distributed free through public health programs, compared to only 7% in urban areas.

Mosquito Net Utilization

The proportion of children under five who slept under a net the previous night was 76.2%, while 57.3% of pregnant women did so. ITN use was slightly lower, 72.9% among children under five and 57.3% among pregnant women. Utilization was consistently higher in urban than rural settings.

Approximately 68.5% of nets were hung properly the previous night, and 71.7% of households reported tucking in their nets. The median bedtime for children under five and pregnant women was 8:00 PM and 9:00 PM, respectively.

Mosquito Net Treatment and Washing Patterns

Re-impregnation rates were very high (93.9% overall), with 94.1% in urban and 93.5% in rural areas. About 15.3% of households reported washing their nets at least once after treatment. The majority washed nets 1–4 times, primarily due to dirt (95.4% of respondents).

Table 3: Mosquito Net and ITN Possession, Utilization, and Re-impregnation Status, Mettu woreda south west Ethiopia 2024

Variable	Rural n (%)	Urban n (%)	Total n (%)
Households owning ≥1 net	350 (84.5)	398 (96.1)	748 (90.3)
Households owning ≥1 ITN	331 (80.0)	377 (91.1)	708 (85.5)
Nets re-treated	514 (93.5)	925 (94.1)	1,439(93.9)
Children <5 using ITN	375 (67.0)	403 (79.5)	72.9%
Pregnant women using ITN	25 (52.1)	22 (64.7)	57.3%
LLINs owned	419 (43.2)	58 (5.6)	477 (23.7)
Free nets received	483 (49.8)	73 (7.0)	556 (27.7)

Focus Group Discussion

Perceptions of Malaria and Its Transmission

Most participants recognized malaria as a major public health concern in their community. They associated malaria transmission primarily with mosquito bites, particularly those occurring during nighttime. Some participants also mentioned environmental factors such as stagnant water and bushy surroundings as

contributing to mosquito breeding and malaria spread. However, a few respondents still held misconceptions, attributing malaria to cold weather or consumption of unboiled water.

Knowledge and Use of Insecticide-Treated Nets

Awareness of ITNs as a preventive measure against malaria was high among both male and female participants. Many respondents reported that they had received mosquito nets during health campaigns or distributions organized by the local health office. However, actual utilization of the nets varied. Some participants admitted that they used the nets inconsistently, particularly during dry seasons when mosquito density was perceived to be low.

Women frequently emphasized the importance of using ITNs for protecting children and pregnant women, whom they recognized as being at higher risk. Nevertheless, certain barriers to consistent use were reported, including discomfort due to heat, improper hanging of nets, and perceptions that nets were only necessary during the rainy season.

Barriers to Consistent Use

Participants identified several practical and behavioral barriers to regular ITN use. These included:

- **Perceived heat and discomfort** when sleeping under the net, especially during warm months.
- **Improper size or condition of nets**, with some households reporting torn or old nets that were no longer effective.
- **Limited awareness of re-treatment procedures**, as many participants did not know that nets needed to be re-impregnated periodically to maintain efficacy.
- **Household sleeping arrangements**, particularly in large families, which made it difficult for all members to sleep under nets.

Some male participants expressed that ITN use was a responsibility mainly for women and children, indicating a gendered perception of malaria prevention practices.

Re-impregnation and Maintenance Practices

Most participants were aware that insecticide treatment could enhance the effectiveness of mosquito nets. However, only a few had ever re-treated their nets. Reasons cited for the low rate of re-impregnation included lack of access to insecticide, unavailability of community re-treatment campaigns, and limited understanding of how and when to perform the process.

Community Recommendations

Participants suggested that continuous community education, regular re-treatment campaigns, and replacement of worn-out nets would improve ITN utilization. They also emphasized the need for health workers to conduct household visits and provide demonstrations on correct net hanging and maintenance

Determinants of ITN Ownership and Utilization Rural Areas

In rural areas, several factors were significantly associated with the utilization of ITNs. Heads of households aged 25 years or older, those who were merchants or government employees, and households with better income were more likely to utilize ITNs (Table 4). Additionally, households with more sleeping places

and larger family sizes demonstrated significant associations with net utilization.

- Households headed by merchants were 0.90 times (95% CI: 0.03–0.38) less likely to allow children under five or pregnant women to sleep under a net.
- Households headed by government employees were 0.87 times (95% CI: 0.03–0.65) less likely to follow this practice.
- Households with better income were 11.44 times (95% CI: 2.97–44.12) more likely to let children under five or pregnant women sleep under a net.
- Households with seven or more sleeping places were 47.23 times (95% CI: 12.32–181.05) more likely to do so.
- Conversely, households with the largest family size were 0.95 times (95% CI: 0.02–0.19) less likely to follow similar practices.
- Education level of the head of household did not significantly influence ITN utilization (p = 0.136).

Table 4: Predictors of Sleeping Under a Net for Households with High-Risk Groups in Rural Mettu woreda south west Ethiopia 2024

Description	No. (%)	OR (Crude, 95% CI)	OR (Adjusted, 95% CI)
Age of Head			
16–24	78 (18.8)	1.00	1.00
25–30	122 (29.5)	1.88 (1.05–3.36)*	2.46 (1.12–5.39)*
31–39	101 (24.4)	3.85 (1.99–7.45)*	3.62 (1.48–8.85)*
40 & above	113 (27.3)	3.34 (1.78–6.27)*	2.55 (1.06–6.16)*
Sex of Head			
Male	338 (81.6)	1.00	1.00
Female	76 (18.4)	0.34 (0.21–0.57)*	0.35 (0.04–2.78)
Education of Head			
Illiterate	232 (56.1)	1.00	1.00
Literate	182 (43.9)	1.24 (0.81–1.90)	1.60 (0.86–2.98)
Occupation of Head			
Merchants	52 (12.6)	0.63 (0.26–1.50)	0.10 (0.03–0.38)*
Farmers	309 (74.6)	3.83 (1.85–7.92)*	1.02 (0.31–3.32)
Government employees	19 (4.6)	0.73 (0.23–2.26)	0.13 (0.03–0.65)*
Household Income			
Better income	44 (10.6)	10.57 (4.09–27.34)*	11.44 (2.97–44.12)*

*Statistically significant at p < 0.05

Urban Areas

In urban areas, household income, the number of sleeping places, and radio possession were significantly associated with ITN utilization (Table 5). Households with better income were 4.36 times (95% CI: 1.69–11.27) more likely to allow children under five or pregnant women to sleep under a net. Households with five or more sleeping places were 0.63 times (95% CI: 0.16–0.86) less likely to follow similar practices.

Table 5: Predictors of Sleeping Under a Net for High-Risk Groups in Urban Mettu woreda south west Ethiopia 2024

Description	No. (%)	OR (Crude, 95% CI)	OR (Adjusted, 95% CI)
Age of Head			
16–24	113 (27.3)	1.00	1.00
25–30	113 (27.3)	0.89 (0.46–1.74)	0.83 (0.39–1.74)
31–39	82 (19.8)	1.55 (0.68–3.51)	1.61 (0.65–3.97)
40 & above	106 (25.6)	1.21 (0.59–2.48)	1.42 (0.61–3.32)
Sex of Head			
Male	354 (85.5)	1.00	1.00
Female	60 (14.5)	0.38 (0.21–0.72)*	0.89 (0.42–1.91)
Household Income			
Better income	120 (29.0)	5.60 (2.42–12.98)*	4.36 (1.69–11.27)*
Sleeping place			
Five and above	114 (27.5)	0.78 (0.39–1.56)	0.37 (0.16–0.86)*

*Statistically significant at $p < 0.05$

ITN Possession

Rural areas: Determinants of net possession included being a merchant, government employee, better income, and radio ownership (Table 6). Households with better income were 81.44 times (95% CI: 8.6–770.87) more likely to own a net. Possessing a radio increased likelihood by 2.78 times (95% CI: 1.14–6.82).

Table 6: Predictors of Possessing a Net for Households with High-Risk Groups in Rural Mettu woreda south west Ethiopia 2024

Description	No. (%)	OR (Crude, 95% CI)	OR (Adjusted, 95% CI)
Occupation of Head – Merchants	52 (12.6)	0.39 (0.15–0.99)*	0.07 (0.02–0.26)*
Occupation of Head – Government employees	19 (4.6)	0.62 (0.19–2.06)	0.06 (0.01–0.34)*
Household Income – Better income	44 (10.6)	49.69 (6.48–381.26)*	81.44 (8.6–770.87)*
Radio possession – Possess	217 (52.4)	2.61 (1.48–4.58)*	2.78 (1.14–6.82)*

*Statistically significant at $p < 0.05$

Urban areas: Only being a farmer was significantly associated with net possession. Farmer-headed households were 5.62 times (95% CI: 1.05–29.93) more likely to own a net (Table 7).

Table 7: Predictors of Possessing a Net for Households with High-Risk Groups in Urban Mettu woreda south west Ethiopia 2024

Occupation of Head – Farmers	157 (37.9)	11.40 (2.43–53.41)*	5.62 (1.05–29.93)*
------------------------------	------------	---------------------	--------------------

Comparison between Rural and Urban Areas

Net possession was significantly higher in urban areas compared to rural areas, with urban households 3.33 times (95% CI: 1.39–7.98) more likely to own a net. Net utilization and treatment rates were similar across both settings (Table 8).

Table 8: Comparison of Net Ownership and Utilization Between Rural and Urban Households with High-Risk Groups, Mettu woreda south west Ethiopia 2024

Description	No. (%)	OR (Crude, 95% CI)	OR (Adjusted, 95% CI)
Net Owned – Yes	748 (80.7)	4.55 (2.58–8.01)*	3.33 (1.39–7.98)*
Sleep under Net – Yes	636 (53.6)	2.18 (1.56–3.04)*	1.37 (0.92–2.06)
Net Treated – Yes	707 (70.8)	2.59 (1.71–3.92)*	1.05 (0.56–1.97)

*Statistically significant at $p < 0.05$

Discussion

This study assessed the coverage and utilization of insecticide-treated nets (ITNs) for malaria control in Mettu woreda south west Ethiopia focusing on ownership versus use among high-risk groups. The findings revealed a substantial gap between ITN possession and actual utilization, consistent with evidence from similar studies conducted in other malaria-endemic areas.

ITN Ownership and Use

Although ITN possession among households was relatively high, utilization by high-risk groups—particularly children under five years of age and pregnant women—was notably lower. This disparity between ownership and use has been widely reported in sub-Saharan Africa and reflects a persistent challenge in malaria prevention programs.

In this study, households tended to possess at least one ITN, often obtained through health campaigns or distribution programs. However, not all household members were covered, and usage was inconsistent. Similar findings were reported in studies from Ethiopia, Nigeria, and Kenya, where coverage was high but effective utilization remained suboptimal.

Several reasons may explain this gap. First, the perception that mosquitoes are less prevalent during the dry season contributed to irregular use. Second, practical barriers such as heat, net condition, and sleeping arrangements were common, especially in large households. Third, limited awareness regarding the need for consistent ITN use and re-impregnation also affected compliance.

Factors Influencing ITN Use

Educational status of the household head, awareness of malaria transmission, and understanding of the benefits of ITNs were significant factors influencing utilization. Households led by

educated individuals were more likely to use ITNs regularly, indicating that knowledge plays a crucial role in shaping preventive health behavior.

Socioeconomic factors, including income level and housing conditions, also affected ITN use. Families with better housing structures—allowing easier hanging and maintenance of nets—tended to have higher usage rates. Conversely, those living in temporary shelters or crowded households often faced physical constraints that limited net use.

Cultural beliefs and gender roles further influenced behavior. Some male participants viewed malaria prevention as primarily a concern for women and children, reflecting gendered divisions in household health responsibilities. These findings underscore the importance of targeted health education that involves all household members, including men, to promote collective responsibility for malaria prevention.

Re-impregnation and Long-Lasting Nets

The study found limited awareness and practice of net re-impregnation. Although participants recognized that treated nets offer protection, few had ever re-treated their nets. Contributing factors included unavailability of insecticide, lack of community-level re-treatment campaigns, and inadequate information dissemination. The introduction of long-lasting insecticidal nets (LLINs) such as PermaNet™ and Olyset™ has addressed some of these challenges, as they eliminate the need for frequent re-treatment and provide sustained protection over several years. However, continued education on proper maintenance and replacement remains essential.

Implications for Malaria Control Programs

The findings highlight the need to strengthen behavior change communication strategies alongside ITN distribution. Health education campaigns should focus on reinforcing the importance of consistent ITN use throughout the year, even during perceived low-risk seasons. In addition, community-based demonstrations on correct net hanging and care could enhance compliance and durability. Integration of malaria prevention messages into maternal and child health programs may further improve ITN utilization among high-risk groups. Regular monitoring, supervision, and replacement of damaged nets are also crucial to maintaining effective coverage.

Strength of the study

The study included the rural and urban areas to illustrate the actual coverage of nets and ITNs within the District. The study also focused on the most vulnerable segment of the population. Early morning survey was carried out to observe the actual behavior of the community with regard to ITNs coverage. The study was carried out during the major malaria transmission season. The study was also carried out immediately after the new distribution of nets to support the RBM initiative through GFATM. The study compared study population with different socio-demographic characteristics.

Limitations of the Study

This study has some limitations. The cross-sectional design limits causal interpretation of observed associations. Self-reported ITN use may be subject to recall or social desirability bias.

Additionally, seasonal variations in mosquito density may have influenced participants' responses regarding net use. Despite these limitations, the study provides valuable insights into the behavioral and contextual factors affecting ITN utilization in a malaria-endemic district.

Conclusion and Recommendations

Conclusion

This study demonstrated that while ownership of insecticide-treated nets (ITNs) in **Mettu Woreda** was relatively high, consistent and appropriate utilization—particularly among high-risk groups such as children under five years of age and pregnant women—remained low. The findings revealed a persistent gap between possession and use, influenced by factors such as limited awareness, seasonal perceptions of malaria risk, discomfort associated with net use, and inadequate re-impregnation practices.

Although distribution campaigns have significantly improved ITN coverage, behavioral and contextual barriers continue to hinder optimal utilization. Sustained malaria prevention, therefore, requires not only provision of ITNs but also strengthened community engagement and education aimed at promoting continuous and correct use.

The introduction of long-lasting insecticidal nets (LLINs) has the potential to enhance protection; however, this benefit can only be fully realized through regular follow-up, replacement of worn-out nets, and reinforcement of proper usage practices at the household level.

Recommendations

Based on the study findings, the following recommendations are proposed:

- **Strengthen Health Education and Behavioral Change Communication:**
 - Conduct continuous community awareness programs emphasizing the importance of consistent ITN use throughout the year.
 - Incorporate malaria prevention education into antenatal, postnatal, and child health services to target high-risk groups effectively.
- **Enhance Community-Level Support and Supervision:**
 - Train and mobilize health extension workers to provide household-level demonstrations on proper net hanging, care, and maintenance.
 - Implement regular household follow-up visits to monitor ITN utilization and condition.
- **Improve Access to and Replacement of ITNs:**
 - Ensure timely replacement of worn-out or damaged nets through routine distribution channels.
 - Prioritize high-risk households during redistribution campaigns to maintain adequate coverage.
- **Promote Use of Long-Lasting Insecticidal Nets (LLINs):**
 - Expand access to factory-treated nets to minimize the need for re-impregnation and maintain sustained protection.
 - Conduct public education on proper care of LLINs to maximize their lifespan and efficacy.
- **Encourage Male Involvement in Malaria Prevention:**
 - Promote inclusive health messages targeting both men and women to foster shared responsibility for ITN use and malaria prevention at the household level.

• **Further Research:**

- o Undertake longitudinal and qualitative studies to better understand behavioral determinants of ITN use and to assess the long-term impact of LLIN distribution programs.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Ethics Committee of the Research Department, Mettu University (Reference No: MU-PHMS/2024/014). The study was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants' data were anonymized, and confidentiality was strictly maintained.

Clinical trial number:

Not applicable.

Consent for Publication:

Not applicable.

Availability of Data and Materials:

Data are available upon reasonable request from the corresponding author.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Funding: This study was self-funded.

Author Contributions

Dagim Dagne is the sole author of this manuscript. The author read and approved the final manuscript..

Acknowledgments

The author thanks Mattu University, Mettu woreda administration office, Mettu woreda health office and data collectors for their support. Special gratitude to study participants for their invaluable contributions.

References

1. David Alnwick. Roll Back Malaria- what are the prospects? Bulletin of the World-Health Organization. 2000. 78.
2. United Nations country team. Focus on Ethiopia. 2004. <http://www.ochaeth.org/Reports/downloadable/FocusonEthiopiaAugust2004final.pdf>.
3. Roll Back Malaria. Malaria in Pregnancy. 2000. http://www.rbm.int/emc_upload/0/000/015/RBMInfosheet_4.htm.
4. UNICEF, WHO, RBM & MEASURE Evaluation. Guidelines for Core Population Coverage indicators for Roll Back Malaria. 2004.
5. USAID. Preventing and Treating Malaria during Pregnancy. 2002. http://www.planetwire.org/files.fcgi/3438_Bpml-Ma02e.pdf.
6. Gebremariam N, Zein ZA, Kloos H,(eds). The Ecology of Health and Disease inEthiopia. Ministry of Health, Addis Ababa. 1988. 136-150.
7. Tulu AN. Kloos H, Zein ZA, (eds).The ecology of health and disease in Ethiopia. Boulder, Westview Press, 1993, Pp. 341-352.
8. WHO. The Community-Based malaria Control Program in Tigray, northern Ethiopia: A Review of Program Setup, Activities, Outcomes and Impact. 1999.
9. FMOH UNICEF. Guide for Program Managers on the Use of Insecticide-Treated Nets in Ethiopia. 2002.
10. Net Mark. Baseline Survey on Insecticide-Treated Materials (ITMs) in Uganda. 2001.
11. Roll Back Malaria. Monitoring and Evaluation: Country Profile, Ethiopia. 2005. http://www.who.int/3by5/cp_eth.pdf.
12. Olusejun A. Babaniyi. WHO representative to Ethiopia. The commemoration of 2005 Africa malaria Day . 2005.<http://www.who.int/countries/eth/news/speeches/2005/amd/en/>
13. Philip B Adongo, Betty Kirkwood, Carl Kendall. How local community knowledge about malaria affects insecticide-treated net use in northern Ghana, Tropical Medicine and International Health. 2005. 10: 366.
14. Roll Back Malaria. Insecticide-Treated Mosquito Nets. 2002. <http://www.rbm.who.int>.
15. Frederick M, Jacqueline A. Sleeping arrangements and mosquito net use among under-fives: results from the Uganda Demographic and Health Survey. Malar J. 2003.
16. Ilu Ababor Zone Administration Office. Socio-economic profile of Mettu Woreda. Scribd Document. Retrieved from. 2021. <https://www.scribd.com/document/604121462/Illu-Ababor-Matuu>.
17. Abdulla S, JRM Armstrong Schellenberg, Mukasa O Lengeler C. Usefulness of a dispensary-based case-control study for assessing morbidity impact of a treated net program, International Journal of Epidemiology. 2002. 31: 175-180